



Article Cyclic-antimagic construction of ladders

Muhammad Awais Umar^{1,*}

- ¹ Government Degree College (B), Sharaqpur Shareef, Pakistan.
- * Correspondence: owais054@gmail.com

Received: 14 November 2018; Accepted: 17 May 2019; Published: 25 June 2019.

Abstract: A simple graph G = (V, E) admits an *H*-covering if every edge in the edge set E(G) belongs to at least one subgraph of *G* isomorphic to a given graph *H*. A graph *G* having an *H*-covering is called (a, d)-*H*-antimagic if the function $h : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., |V(G)| + |E(G)|\}$ defines a bijective map such that, for all subgraphs *H'* of *G* isomorphic to *H*, the sums of labels of all vertices and edges belonging to *H'* constitute an arithmetic progression with the initial term *a* and the common difference *d*. Such a graph is named as *super* (a, d)-*H*-antimagic if $h(V(G)) = \{1, 2, 3, ..., |V(G)|\}$. For d = 0, the *super* (a, d)-*H*-antimagic graph is called *H*-supermagic. In the present paper, we study the existence of *super* (a, d)-*cycle-antimagic* labelings of ladder graphs for certain differences *d*.

Keywords: Cycle-antimagic, super cycle-antimagic, super (*a*, *d*)-cycle-antimagic, *C*₄-antimagic, ladder graph.

1. Introduction

et G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph. A family of subgraphs $H_1, H_2, ..., H_t$ of the graph G with property that each element of E belongs to at least one subgraph H_i , i = 1, 2, ..., t, is classified as an *edge-covering* of G. With the possibility, H_i isomorphic to a given graph H, G is said to admit an *H*-covering.

Suppose a (p,q)-graph G admits an H-covering. A bijective function $h : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., p+q\}$ is called a total labeling for G. The associated H-weight is defined as

$$wt_h(H) = \sum_{v \in V(H)} h(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H)} h(e).$$

A total labeling $h : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., p + q\}$ is then called an *H*-magic labeling, if there exists a positive integer m_c (called the *magic constant*) such that for every subgraph H' of *G* isomorphic to H, $wt_h(H) = m_c$. The graph *G* admitting such a labeling is called *H*-magic.

In addition, if the *H*-weights constitute an arithmetic progression a, a + d, a + 2d, ..., a + (t - 1)d, where a > 0 and $d \ge 0$ are two integers, and *t* is the number of all subgraphs of *G* isomorphic to *H*, then we say that graph *G* is an (a, d)-*H*-antimagic. The restriction $h(V) = \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ makes *G* a super (a, d)-*H*-antimagic. If the subgraph *H* is isomorphic to a cycle C_k for some *k*, then super (a, d)-*H*-antimagic labeling is referred to a super (a, d)-cycle-antimagic labeling.

The *H*-supermagic labelings were first studied by Gutiérrez *et al.* in [1] as an extension of the edge-magic and super edge-magic labelings introduced by Kotzig *et al.* [2] and Enomoto *et al.* [3], respectively. Gutiérrez *et al.* considered *star*-supermagic and *path*-supermagic labelings of some connected graphs and proved that the path P_n and the cycle C_n are P_m -supermagic for some *m*. Maryati *et al.* [4] gave P_m -supermagic labelings of some trees such as shrubs, subdivision of shrubs and banana tree graphs. Lladó *et al.* [5] investigated C_n -supermagic graphs and proved that wheels, windmills, books and prisms are C_m -magic for some *m*. Some results on C_n -supermagic labelings of several classes of graphs can be found in [6,7]. Other examples of *H*-supermagic graphs with different choices of *H* have been given by Jeyanthi *et al.* in [8]. Inayah, Lladó and Moragas [9] gave a connection between graceful trees and antimagic *H*-decomposition of complete graphs. Maryati *et al.* [6] investigated the *G*-supermagicness of a disjoint union of *c* copies of a graph *G* and showed that disjoint union of any paths is cP_m -supermagic for some *c* and *m*.

Motivated by *H*-(super)magic labelings, Inayah *et al.* [10] introduced the (a, d)-*H*-antimagic labeling. In [11] they investigated the super (a, d)-*H*-antimagic labelings for some shackles of a connected graph *H*. In

[12] Miller *et al.* exhibit an operation on graphs which keeps super H-antimagic properties using technique of partitioning sets of integers. The existence of super (a, d)-H-antimagic labelings for disconnected graphs is studied in [13] and there is proved that if a graph *G* admits a (super) (a, d)-H-antimagic labeling, where d = |E(H)| - |V(H)|, then the disjoint union of *m* copies of the graph *G*, denoted by *mG*, admits a (super) (b, d)-H-antimagic labeling as well.

The (super) (a, d)-*H*-antimagic labeling is related to a super *d*-antimagic labeling of type (1, 1, 0) of a plane graph that is the generalization of a face-magic labeling introduced by Lih [14]. Further information on super *d*-antimagic labelings can be found in [15,16].

For $H \cong K_2$, (super) (a, d)-*H*-antimagic labelings are also called (super) (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labelings and have been introduced in [17]. More results on (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labelings, can be found in [15,18–20]. The vertex version of these labelings for generalized pyramid graphs is given in [21].

A *ladder* is a Cartesian product $L_m \cong P_m \times P_2$ of the path on *m* vertices with the path on two vertices. The vertex set $V(L_m)$ consists of the elements $\{u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le m\}$ and the edge set $E(L_m)$ consists of the elements $\{u_i v_i : 1 \le i \le m\} \cup \{u_i u_{i+1}, v_i v_{i+1} : 1 \le i \le m-1\}$.

In [7], Ngurah *et al.* proved that ladder graph L_n is C_4 -supermagic for every $n \ge 2$.

In the present paper, we will study the existence of the super cycle-antimagic labelings of ladder graphs L_m . More explicitly, we will describe super (a, d)- C_4 -antimagic labelings of ladder graphs for differences $0 \le d \le 15$.

2. Results

Let $C_4^{(i)}$, $1 \le i \le m - 1$ be the subcycle of L_m with $V(C_4^{(i)}) = \{u_i, u_{i+1}, v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ and $E(C_4^{(i)}) = \{u_i u_{i+1}, v_i v_{i+1}, u_i v_i, u_{i+1} v_{i+1}\}$. For the C_4 -weight of the cycle $C_4^{(i)}$, i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1, under the total labeling *h* we get:

$$wt_h(C_4^{(i)}) = h(u_i) + h(v_i) + h(u_{i+1}) + h(v_{i+1}) + h(u_iu_{i+1}) + h(v_iv_{i+1}) + h(u_iv_i) + h(u_{i+1}v_{i+1}).$$

The following theorem shows that ladder graph L_m admits super (a, d)- C_4 -antimagic labelings for differences $0 \le d \le 6$.

Theorem 1. Let $m \ge 3$ be a positive integer. Then the ladder L_m admits a super (a,d)- C_4 -antimagic labeling for $d \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

Proof. Label the vertices of the ladder L_m by the following integers:

$$h(u_i) = i$$
 if $i = 1, 2, ..., m$,
 $h(v_i) = 2m + 1 - i$ if $i = 1, 2, ..., m$.

Clearly, under the vertex labeling *h* the vertices of L_m receive labels from 1 up to 2m and for partial weights of $C_4^{(i)}$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 we get

$$w_h = h(u_i) + h(u_{i+1}) + h(v_i) + h(v_{i+1}) = 4m + 2.$$
(1)

We define the labelings h_j , j = 0, 1, 2, ..., 6, for the edges of L_m in the following way: if i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 then

$$h_j(u_i u_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} 3m - i & \text{for } j = 0, \\ 4m - i & \text{for } j = 1, \\ 3m + i & \text{for } j = 2, 3, 4, \\ 3m - 1 + 2i & \text{for } j = 5, 6, \end{cases}$$

$$h_j(v_i v_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} 4m - 1 - i & \text{for } j = 0, \\ 4m - 1 + i & \text{for } j = 1, 3, 4, \\ 4m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 1 - i & \text{for } j = 2, \\ 3m + 2i & \text{for } j = 5, 6, \end{cases}$$

and if i = 1, 2, ..., m then

$$h_j(u_i v_i) = \begin{cases} 4m - 2 + i & \text{for } j = 0, \\ 2m + \frac{i+1}{2} & \text{for } j = 1, 3, 5 \text{ and } i \text{ odd,} \\ 2m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + \frac{i}{2} & \text{for } j = 1, 3, 5 \text{ and } i \text{ even,} \\ 2m + i & \text{for } j = 2, 4, 6. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that every edge labeling h_j , j = 0, 1, 2, ..., 6, admits the values from 2m + 1 up to 5m - 2. Thus every edge labeling h_j , j = 0, 1, 2, ..., 6, together with vertex labeling h has properties of a total labeling of the ladder L_m . Let

$$w_{h_j} = h_j(u_i u_{i+1}) + h_j(v_i v_{i+1}) + h_j(u_i v_i) + h_j(u_{i+1} v_{i+1})$$
(2)

be partial weights of cycles $C_4^{(i)}$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 and j = 0, 1, ..., 6. Then according to (1) and (2) we get

$$wt_{h_j}(C_4^{(i)}) = w_h + w_{h_j} = 4m + 2 + w_{h_j}.$$
 (3)

For j = 0, $w_{h_0} = 15m - 4$ and $wt_{h_0}(C_4^{(i)}) = 19m - 2$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. Thus the total labeling $h \cup h_0$ is C_4 -supermagic for L_m .

For j = 1, $w_{h_1} = 12m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + i$ and $wt_{h_1}(C_4^{(i)}) = 16m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 2 + i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. Under the labeling $h \cup h_1$ the C_4 -weights of L_m are consecutive integers and L_m is a super $(16m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 3, 1)$ - C_4 -antimagic.

For j = 2, $w_{h_2} = 11m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 2 + 2i$ and $wt_{h_2}(C_4^{(i)}) = 15m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 4 + 2i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 and it proves that the total labeling $h \cup h_2$ is a super $(15m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 6, 2)$ - C_4 -antimagic.

For j = 3, $w_{h_3} = 11m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 3i$ and $wt_{h_3}(C_4^{(i)}) = 15m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 2 + 3i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. It shows that the labeling $h \cup h_3$ is a super C_4 -antimagic with the difference d = 3.

For j = 4, $w_{h_4} = 11m + 4i$ and $w_{h_4}(C_4^{(i)}) = 15m + 2 + 4i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. Under the labeling $h \cup h_4$ the C_4 -weights of L_m form the arithmetic sequence with the difference d = 4 and L_m is a super (15m + 6, 4)- C_4 -antimagic.

For j = 5, $w_{h_5} = 10m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 5i$ and $wt_{h_5}(C_4^{(i)}) = 14m + \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + 2 + 5i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. It shows that the labeling $h \cup h_5$ is a super C_4 -antimagic with the difference d = 5.

For j = 6, $w_{h_6} = 10m + 6i$ and $w_{t_{h_6}}(C_4^{(i)}) = 14m + 2 + 6i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 and it proves that the total labeling $h \cup h_6$ is a super (14m + 8, 2)- C_4 -antimagic. This completes the proof. \Box

Next theorem proves a super C_4 -antimagicness for ladder graph with differences $7 \le d \le 15$.

Theorem 2. Let $m \ge 3$ be a positive integer. Then the ladder L_m is a super (a, d)- C_4 -antimagic for every $d \in \{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15\}$.

Proof. Define a vertex labeling $h : V(L_m) \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., 2m\}$ such that

$$h(u_i) = 2i - 1$$
 if $i = 1, 2, ..., m$,
 $h(v_i) = 2i$ if $i = 1, 2, ..., m$.

For partial weights of $C_4^{(i)}$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 we get

$$w_h = h(u_i) + h(u_{i+1}) + h(v_i) + h(v_{i+1}) = 8i + 2.$$
(4)

We construct the labelings h_j , j = 7, 8, ..., 15, for the edges of L_m as follows: if i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 then

$$h_{j}(u_{i}u_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} 4m - i & \text{for } j = 7,9, \\ 3m + i & \text{for } j = 8,11,12, \\ 2m + 2i - 1 & \text{for } j = 10,14, \\ 3m - 1 + 2i & \text{for } j = 13, \\ 2m + 2i & \text{for } j = 15, \end{cases}$$
$$h_{j}(v_{i}v_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} 5m - 1 - i & \text{for } j = 7, \\ 4m - 1 + i & \text{for } j = 8,9,11,12,15, \\ 2m + 2i & \text{for } j = 10,14, \\ 3m + 2i & \text{for } j = 13, \end{cases}$$

and if i = 1, 2, ..., m then

$$h_j(u_i v_i) = \begin{cases} 2m + \frac{i+1}{2} & \text{for } j = 7,9,11,13 \text{ and } i \text{ odd,} \\ 3m - 2 + \frac{i}{2} & \text{for } j = 7,9,11,13 \text{ and } i \text{ even,} \\ 3m + 1 - i & \text{for } j = 8, \\ 3m + 1 - i & \text{for } j = 10, \\ 2m + i & \text{for } j = 10, \\ 2m + i & \text{for } j = 12, \\ 4m - 2 + i & \text{for } j = 14, \\ 2m - 1 + 2i & \text{for } j = 15. \end{cases}$$

One can see that for j = 7, 8, 9, ..., 15 every edge labeling h_j attains the values from the set $\{2m + 1, 2m + 2, ..., 5m - 2\}$ and every labeling $h \cup h_j$ satisfies the properties of a total labeling of the ladder L_m . Then according to (2) and (4) we get

$$wt_{h_i}(C_4^{(i)}) = w_h + w_{h_i} = 8i + 2 + w_{h_i}.$$
(5)

For j = 7, $w_{h_7} = 14m - i - 2$ and $w_{t_{h_7}}(C_4^{(i)}) = 14m + 7i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. Under the labeling $h \cup h_7$ the C_4 -weights of L_m create the arithmetic progression of the difference d = 7 and L_m is a super (14m + 7, 7)- C_4 -antimagic.

For j = 8, $w_{h_8} = 13m$ and $w_{t_{h_8}}(C_4^{(i)}) = 13m + 2 + 8i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 and it proves that the total labeling $h \cup h_8$ is a super (13m + 10, 8)- C_4 -antimagic.

For j = 9, $w_{h_9} = 13m - 2 + i$ and $wt_{h_9}(C_4^{(i)}) = 13m + 9i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. It shows that the labeling $h \cup h_9$ is a super C_4 -antimagic with the difference d = 9.

For j = 10, $w_{h_{10}} = 14m - 4 + 2i$ and $wt_{h_{10}}(C_4^{(i)}) = 14m - 2 + 10i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. Under the labeling $h \cup h_{10}$ the C_4 -weights of L_m form the arithmetic sequence with the difference d = 10 and L_m is a super (14m + 8, 10)- C_4 -antimagic.

For j = 11, $w_{h_{11}} = 12m - 2 + 3i$ and $w_{t_{h_{11}}}(C_4^{(i)}) = 12m + 11i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 and it proves that the total labeling $h \cup h_{10}$ is a super (12m + 11, 11)- C_4 -antimagic.

For j = 12, $w_{h_{12}} = 11m + 4i$ and $wt_{h_{12}}(C_4^{(i)}) = 11m + 2 + 12i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 and it proves that the total labeling $h \cup h_{12}$ is a super (11m + 14, 12)- C_4 -antimagic.

For j = 13, $w_{h_{13}} = 11m - 2 + 5i$ and $w_{t_{h_{13}}}(C_4^{(i)}) = 11m + 13i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. It shows that the labeling $h \cup h_{13}$ is a super C_4 -antimagic with the difference d = 13.

For j = 14, $w_{h_{14}} = 12m - 4 + 6i$ and $wt_{h_{14}}(C_4^{(i)}) = 12m - 2 + 14i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. Under the labeling $h \cup h_{14}$ the C_4 -weights of L_m form the arithmetic sequence with the difference d = 14 and L_m is a super (12m + 12, 14)- C_4 -antimagic.

For j = 15, $w_{h_{15}} = 10m - 1 + 7i$ and $w_{t_{h_{15}}}(C_4^{(i)}) = 10m + 1 + 15i$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1. It shows that the labeling $h \cup h_{15}$ is a super C_4 -antimagic with the difference d = 15.

Thus we have arrived at the desired result. \Box

Conflicts of Interest: "The author declare no conflict of interest."

References

- Gutiérrez, A., & Lladó, A. (2005). Magic coverings. Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing, 55(2005), 43-56.
- [2] Kotzig, A., & Rosa, A. (1970). Magic valuations of finite graphs. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, 13(4), 451-461.
- [3] Enomoto, H., Lladó, A. S., Nakamigawa, T., & Ringel, G. (1998). Super edge-magic graphs. Sut J. Math, 34(2), 105-109.
- [4] Maryati, T. K., Baskoro, E. T., & Salman, A. N. M. (2008). P_h-(super)magic labelings of some trees. Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing, 65, 198-204.
- [5] Lladó, A., & Moragas, J. (2007). Cycle-magic graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 307(23), 2925-2933.
- [6] Maryati, T. K., Salman, A. N. M., & Baskoro, E. T. (2013). Supermagic coverings of the disjoint union of graphs and amalgamations. *Discrete Mathematics*, 313(4), 397-405.
- [7] Ngurah, A. A. G., Salman, A. N. M., & Susilowati, L. (2010). H-supermagic labelings of graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 310(8), 1293-1300.
- [8] Jeyanthi, P., & Selvagopal, P. (2010). More classes of H-supermagic graphs. International Jornal of Algorithms, Computing and Mathematics, 3(1), 93-108.
- [9] Inayah, N., Lladó, A., & Moragas, J. (2012). Magic and antimagic H-decompositions. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312(7), 1367-1371.
- [10] Inayah, N., Salman, A. N. M., & Simanjuntak, R. (2009). On (a, d)-H-antimagic coverings of graphs. Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing, 71, 273-281.
- [11] Inayah, N., Simanjuntak, R., Salman, A. N. M., & Syuhada, K. I. A. (2013). Super (*a*, *d*)-*H*-antimagic total labelings for shackles of a connected graph *H. Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, *57*, 127-138.
- [12] Miller, M., & Semaničová-Feňovčíková, A. (2015). A Construction of H-antimagic Graphs. Acta Mechanica Slovaca 19(3), 6-11.
- [13] Bača, M., Miller, M., Ryan, J., & Semaničová-Feňovčíková, A. (2016). On *H*-antimagicness of disconnected graphs. Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 94(2), 201-207.
- [14] Lih, K. W. (1983). On magic and consecutive labelings of plane graphs. Utilitas Math, 24, 165-197.
- [15] Bača, M., Brankovic, L., & Semaničová-Feňovčíková, A. (2011). Labelings of plane graphs containing Hamilton path. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, 27(4), 701-714.
- [16] Bača, M., Miller, M., Phanalasy, O., & Semaničová-Feňovčíková, A. (2010). Super d-antimagic labelings of disconnected plane graphs. Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 26(12), 2283-2294.
- [17] Simanjuntak, R., Miller, M., & Bertault, F. (2000). Two new (a, d)-antimagic graph labelings. Proc. Eleventh Australas. Workshop Combin. Alg. (AWOCA), 179-189.
- [18] Bača, M., & Miller, M. (2008). Super edge-antimagic graphs: A wealth of problems and some solutions. Universal-Publishers.
- [19] Figueroa-Centeno, R. M., Ichishima, R., & Muntaner-Batle, F. A. (2001). The place of super edge-magic labelings among other classes of labelings. *Discrete Mathematics*, 231(1-3), 153-168.
- [20] Wallis, W. D. (2001). Magic graphs. Birkhäuser, New York.
- [21] Arumugam, S., Miller, M., Phanalasy, O., & Ryan, J. (2014). Antimagic labeling of generalized pyramid graphs. Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 30(2), 283-290.



© 2019 by the authors; licensee PSRP, Lahore, Pakistan. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).