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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the generalized porous medium equations with Laplacian and abstract pressure term. By using the Fourier localization argument and the Littlewood-Paley theory, we get global well-posedness results of this equation for small initial data $u_{0}$ belonging to the critical Fourier-Besov-Morrey spaces. In addition, we also give the Gevrey class regularity of the solution.
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## 1. Introduction

W
e investigate the generalized porous medium equation in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+\mu \Lambda^{\alpha} u=\nabla \cdot(u \nabla P u) ; \quad(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{1}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u=u(t, x)$ is a real-valued function, which denotes a density or concentration. The dissipative coefficient $\mu>0$ corresponds to the viscous case, while $\mu=0$ corresponds to the inviscid case. The fractional Laplacian operator $\Lambda^{\alpha}$ is defined by Fourier transform as $\widehat{\Lambda^{\alpha} u}=|\xi|^{\alpha} \hat{u}$, and $P$ is an abstract operator.

The equation (1) was introduced in the first by Zhou et al. [1]. In fact, Equation (1) is obtained by adding the fractional dissipative term $\mu \Lambda^{\alpha} u$ to the continuity equation (PME) $u_{t}+\nabla \cdot(u V)=0$ given by Caffarelli and Vázquez [2], where the velocity $V$ derives from a potential, $V=-\nabla p$ and the velocity potential or pressure $p$ is related to $u$ by an abstract operator $p=P u$ [3].

For $\mu=0$ and $P u=(-\Delta)^{-s} u=\Lambda^{-2 s} u, 0<s<1$; X. Zhou et al. [4] were interested in finding the strong solutions of the equation (1) which becomes the fractional porous medium equation in the Besov spaces $B_{p, \infty}^{\alpha}$ and they obtained the local solution for any initial data in $B_{1, \infty}^{\alpha}$. Moreover, in the critical case $s=1$, the Equation (1) leads to a mean field equation [4,5]. Let's take this opportunity to briefly quote some works on the well-posedness and regularity on those equations such as $[4,6]$ and the references therein.

On the other hand, an another similar model occurs in the aggregation equation, and plays a fundamental role in applied sciences such as physics, biology, chemistry, population dynamics. It describes a collective motion and aggregation phenomena in biology and in mechanics of continuous media $[7,8]$. In the aggregation equation, the abstract form pressure term $P u$ can also be represented by convolution with a kernel $K$ as $P u=$ $K * u$. The typical kernels are the Newton potential $|x|^{\gamma}$ [9,10], and the exponent potential $-e^{-|x|}$ [11,12]. For more results on this equation, we refer to $[13,14]$ and the references therein.

Recently, Zhou et al. [1] obtained the local well-posedness in Besov spaces for large initial data, and proved that the solution becomes global if the initial data is small, also, they studied a blowup criterion for the solution.

In addition, we can represent the Equation (1) with the same initial data by

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}+\mu \Lambda^{\alpha} u+v \cdot \nabla u=-u(\nabla \cdot v) \\
v=-\nabla P u \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

As a consequence, this equation must be compared to the geostrophic model. So, the convective velocity is not absolutely divergence-free for the generalized porous medium equation. Additionally, if we assume that $v$ is divergence-free vector function $(\nabla \cdot v=0)$, the form (2) can contain the quasi-geostrophic (Q-G) equation [15,16].

Inspired by the works [1,17]; the aim of this paper is to prove the well-posedness results of Equation (1) and to give the Gevrey class regularity of the solution in homogeneous Fourier Besov-Morrey spaces under the condition that the abstract operator $P$ is commutative with the operator $e^{-\mu \sqrt{t}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{j} \widehat{\nabla P u}\right\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}} \leq C 2^{j \sigma}\left\|\varphi_{j} \widehat{u}\right\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, for the fractional porous medium equation, i.e. $P u=\Lambda^{-2 s} u$, we get $\sigma=1-2$ s. If $P u=K * u$ in the aggregation equation, Wu and Zhang [18] proved a similar result under the condition $\nabla K \in W^{1,1}$, $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Corresponding to their case we give a same result for $\sigma=0$ when $\nabla K \in L^{1}$, and also a similar result for $\sigma=1$ when $K \in L^{1}$.

Throughout this paper, we use $\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}$ to denote the homogenous Fourier Besov-Morrey spaces, $C$ will denote constants which can be different at different places, $\mathrm{U} \lesssim \mathrm{V}$ means that there exists a constant $\mathrm{C}>0$ such that $\mathrm{U} \leq \mathrm{CV}$, and $p^{\prime}$ is the conjugate of $p$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

## 2. Preliminaries and main results

We start with a dyadic decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose $\chi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{supp} \chi \subset\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\right\}, \\
\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{3}{4} \leq|\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\right\}, \\
\chi(\xi)+\sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)=1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \\
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)=1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\},
\end{gathered}
$$

and denote $\varphi_{j}(\xi)=\varphi\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}$ the set of all polynomials.
First, we recall the definition of Morrey spaces which are a complement of $L^{p}$ spaces.
Definition 1 ([19]). For $1 \leq p<\infty, 0 \leq \lambda<n$, the Morrey spaces $\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}=\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is defined as the set of functions $f \in L_{l o c}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}}=\sup _{x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \sup _{r>0} r^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(B\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)}<\infty, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ denotes the ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with center $x_{0}$ and radius $r$.
It is easy to see that the injection $\mathrm{M}_{p_{1}}^{\lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{M}_{p_{2}}^{\mu}$ provided $\frac{n-\mu}{p_{2}} \geq \frac{n-\lambda}{p_{1}}$ and $p_{2} \leq p_{1}$, and $\mathrm{M}_{p}^{0}=L^{p}$.
If $1 \leq p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}<\infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}<n$ with $\frac{1}{p_{3}}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}+\frac{1}{p_{2}}$ and $\frac{\lambda_{3}}{p_{3}}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p_{1}}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p_{2}}$, then we have the Hölder type inequality

$$
\|f g\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p_{3}}^{\lambda_{3}}} \leq\|f\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p_{1}}^{\lambda_{1}}}\|g\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p_{2}}^{\lambda_{2}}}
$$

Also, for $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda<n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi * g\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}} \leq\|\varphi\|_{L^{1}}\|g\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in L^{1}$ and $g \in \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}$.
Definition 2. (homogeneous Fourier-Besov-Morrey spaces ) Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \lambda<n, 1 \leq p<+\infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq+\infty$. The space $\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denotes the set of all $u \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) / \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\mathcal{F}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j q s}\left\|\varphi_{j} \widehat{u}\right\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}}^{q}\right\}^{1 / q}<+\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with suitable modification made when $q=\infty$.
Note that the space $\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ equipped with the norm (6) is a Banach space. Since $\mathrm{M}_{p}^{0}=L^{p}$, we have $\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, 0, q}^{s}=F \dot{B}_{p, q}^{s}, \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{1,0, q}^{s}=F \dot{B}_{1, q}^{s}=\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{q}^{s}$ and $\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{1,0,1}^{-1}=\chi^{-1}$ where $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{q}^{s}$ is the Fourier-Herz space and $\chi^{-1}$ is the Lei-Lin space [20].

Now, we recall the definition of the mixed space-time spaces.
Definition 3. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p<\infty, 1 \leq q, \rho \leq \infty, 0 \leq \lambda<n$, and $I=[0, T), T \in(0, \infty]$. The space-time norm is defined on $u(t, x)$ by

$$
\|u(t, x)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \hat{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}\right)}=\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j q s}\left\|\varphi_{j} \widehat{u}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}^{q}\right\}^{1 / q}
$$

and denote by $\mathcal{L}^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}\right)$ the set of distributions in $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) / \mathcal{P}$ with finite $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}\right)}$ norm.
According to Minkowski inequality, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}\right), & \text { if } \rho \leq q \\
\mathcal{L}^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}\right), & \text { if } \rho \geq q
\end{array}
$$

where $\|u(t, x)\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}\right)}:=\left(\int_{I}\|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\dot{\mathcal{N}}}^{p, \lambda, q}}^{\rho} d \tau\right)^{1 / \rho}$.
Our first main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 4. Assume that the abstract operator $P$ satisfies the condition (3). If $0 \leq \lambda<3,1 \leq q \leq \infty, 1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\max \{1+\sigma, 0\}<\alpha<2+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma$ then there exists a constant $C_{0}$ such that for any $u_{0} \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{N}_{p, \lambda, q}}{ }^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{1}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}$ satisfies


$$
\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left([0, \infty) ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)+\mu\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}\left([0, \infty) ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)} \leq 2 C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+}}{ }^{1-\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant.
Now, we give some remarks about this result.
Remark 1. The result stated in Theorem 4 is based on the works [3]. In particular, this result remains true if we replace the Fourier-Besov-Morrey space $\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}$ by other functional spaces such as Fourier-Herz space $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{q}^{s}$, Fourier-Besov space $\mathrm{FB}_{p, q}^{s}$ and Lei-Lin space $\chi^{-1}$.

The analyticity of the solution is also an important subject developed by several researchers, particularly with regard to the Navier-Stokes equations, see [17] and its references. In this paper, we will prove the Gevrey class regularity for (1) in the Fourier-Besov-Morrey space. Inspired by this, we have obtained the following specific results.

Theorem 5. Let $0 \leq \lambda<3,1 \leq q \leq \infty, 1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\max \{1+\sigma, 0\}<\alpha<\min \left\{2,2+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right\}$. There exists a constant $C_{0}$ such that, if $u_{0} \in \mathcal{F}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}$ satisfies $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}<C_{0} \mu$, then the Cauchy problem (1) admits a unique analytic solution $u$, in the sense that

We finish this section with a Bernstein type lemma in Fourier variables in Morrey spaces.
Lemma 6 ([21]). Let $1 \leq q \leq p<\infty, 0 \leq \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}<n, \frac{n-\lambda_{1}}{p} \leq \frac{n-\lambda_{2}}{q}$, and let $\gamma$ be a multiindex. If $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{f}) \subset$ $\left\{|\xi| \leq A 2^{j}\right\}$ then there is a constant $C>0$ independent of $f$ and $j$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\|(i \tilde{\xi})^{\gamma} \widehat{f}\right\|_{\mathrm{M}_{q}^{\lambda_{2}}} \leq C 2^{j|\gamma|+j\left(\frac{n-\lambda_{2}}{q}-\frac{n-\lambda_{1}}{p}\right.}\right)\|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda_{1}}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The well-posedness

First, we consider the linear nonhomogeneous dissipative equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+\mu \Lambda^{\alpha} u=f(t, x) \quad(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{8}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3},
\end{array}\right.
$$

for which we recall the following result.
Lemma 7 ([22]). Let $I=[0, T), 0<T \leq \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \lambda<3,1 \leq p<\infty$, and $1 \leq q, \rho \leq \infty$. Assume that $u_{0} \in \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}}\right)$. Then the Cauchy problem (8) has a unique solution $u(t, x)$ such that for all $\rho_{1} \in[\rho,+\infty]$
and

$$
\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left(1 ; \mathcal{F} \mathcal{N}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}\right)}+\mu\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \mathcal{N}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s} s+\alpha\right.}^{s+\alpha} \leq\left(1+\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\alpha}\right)\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{F i}_{p, \lambda, q}^{s}}+\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}\left(1 ; \mathcal{F} \mathcal{N}_{p, \lambda, q}\right.}^{s}\right) .
$$

If in addition $q$ is finite, then $u$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{\mathcal{s}}\right)$.
Proposition 8. Let $1 \leq p<\infty, 1 \leq \rho, q \leq \infty, 1+\sigma<\alpha<\frac{2+\frac{3}{p}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}{2-\frac{1}{\rho}}, 0 \leq \lambda<3, I=[0, T), T \in(0, \infty]$, and set

$$
X=\mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, \eta}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right) \cap \mathcal{L}^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\sigma}\right)
$$

with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{X}=\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right.}+\mu\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\rho}}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, \mu}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\frac{\alpha}{p}+\sigma}\right) .
$$

There exists a constant $C=C(p, q)>0$ depending on $p, q$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u \partial_{i} P v\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}}\left(I ; F \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, \boldsymbol{q}}^{-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{p}}+\frac{\alpha}{p}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right) \leq C \mu^{-1}\|u\|_{X}\|v\|_{X} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us introduce some notations about the standard localization operators. We set

$$
u_{j}=\dot{\Delta}_{j} u=\left(\mathscr{F}^{-1} \varphi_{j}\right) * u, \quad \dot{S}_{j} u=\sum_{k \leq j-1} \dot{\Delta}_{k} u, \quad \widetilde{\Delta}_{j} u=\sum_{|k-j| \leq 1} \dot{\Delta}_{k} u, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Using the decomposition of Bony's paraproducts for the fixed $j$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Delta}_{j}\left(u \partial_{i} P v\right) & =\sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \dot{\Delta}_{j}\left(\dot{S}_{k-1} u \dot{\Delta}_{k}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)\right)+\sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \dot{\Delta}_{j}\left(\dot{S}_{k-1}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right) \dot{\Delta}_{k} u\right)+\sum_{k \geq j-3} \dot{\Delta}_{j}\left(\dot{\Delta}_{k} u \widetilde{\Delta}_{k}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)\right) \\
& =I_{j}+I I_{j}+I I I_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove this proposition, we can write

We treat the above three terms differently. First, using Young's inequality (5) in Morrey spaces, and Lemma 6 with $|\gamma|=0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widehat{I}_{j}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)} & \leq \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4}\left\|\dot{S}_{k-1} \widehat{u \dot{\Delta}_{k}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)} \\
& \leq \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4}\left\|\varphi_{k} \mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)} \sum_{l \leq k-2}\left\|\varphi_{l} \hat{u}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I, L^{1}\right)} \\
& \leq \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4}\left\|\varphi_{k} \mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)} \sum_{l \leq k-2} 2^{l\left(\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}\right)}\left\|\widehat{u}_{l}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} 2^{k \sigma}\left\|\widehat{v}_{k}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}\left(\sum_{l \leq k-2} 2^{l(\alpha-1-\sigma) q^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}\|u\| \\
& \mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{\left.1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)}\right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} 2^{k(\alpha-1)}\left\|\widehat{v}_{k}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}\|u\| \mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, \lambda}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying by $2^{j\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)}$, and taking $l^{q}$ - norm of both sides in the above estimate, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right) q}\left\|\widehat{I}_{j}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}^{q}\right\}^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \lesssim\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} 2^{k\left(1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\sigma\right)} 2^{(j-k)\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)}\left\|\widehat{v}_{k}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}\right)^{q}\right\}^{1 / q} \times\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \mathcal{N}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Likewise, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right) q}\left\|\widehat{I I}_{j}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}^{q}\right\}^{1 / q} \lesssim\|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)}\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\frac{\alpha}{p}+\sigma}\right)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right) q}\left\|\widehat{I I}_{j}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}^{q}\right\}^{1 / q} \\
& +\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right) q}\left\|\widehat{I I I_{j}}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}^{q}\right\}^{1 / q} . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

To evaluate $I I I_{j}$, we apply the Young inequality (5) in Morrey spaces and Lemma 6 with $|\gamma|=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{j\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)}\|\widehat{I I I}\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq 2^{j\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)} \sum_{k \geq j-3} \sum_{|l-k| \leq 1}\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(\dot{\Delta}_{k} u \dot{\Delta}_{l}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq 2^{j\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)} \sum_{k \geq j-3} \sum_{|l-k| \leq 1}\left\|\widehat{u}_{k}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}\left\|\varphi_{l} \mathcal{F}\left(\partial_{i} P v\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I, L^{1}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq 2^{j\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{\left.p^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)} \sum_{k \geq j-3|l-k| \leq 1} 2^{l\left(\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}\right)}\left\|\widehat{u}_{k}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)} 2^{l \sigma}\left\|\widehat{v}_{l}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}\right.} \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{k \geq j-3} \sum_{l=-1}^{1} 2^{\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)(j-k)} 2^{(\alpha-1) l}\left(2^{\left(-(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right) k}\left\|\widehat{u}_{k}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(2^{(l+k)\left(-(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)}\left\|\widehat{v}_{l+k}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the $l^{q}$-norm on both sides in the above estimate and using Hölder's inequalities for series with $-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma>0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right) q}\left\|\widehat{I I I}_{j}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq\left(\sum _ { j \in \mathbb { Z } } \left(\sum_{m \leq 3} \sum_{l=-1}^{1} 2^{\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right) m} 2^{(\alpha-1) l} 2^{\left(-(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)(j-m)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times\left\|\widehat{u}_{j-m}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)} 2^{\left(-(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)(j-m+l)}\left\|\widehat{v}_{j-m+l}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I, \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)}\right)^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq \sum_{l=-1}^{1} \sum_{m \leq 3} 2^{\left(-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right) m} 2^{(\alpha-1) l}\|u\| \mathcal{L}^{\rho}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right) \\
& \times\|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, \infty}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $l^{q} \hookrightarrow l^{\infty}$, we obtain

Estimates (10), (11), (12) and (13) yield (9) .
Lemma 9. Let $X$ be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ and $B: X \times X \longmapsto X$ be a bounded bilinear operator satisfying

$$
\|B(u, v)\|_{X} \leq \eta\|u\|_{X}\|v\|_{X}
$$

for all $u, v \in X$ and a constant $\eta>0$. Then, if $0<\varepsilon<\frac{1}{4 \eta}$ and if $y \in X$ such that $\|y\|_{X} \leq \varepsilon$, the equation $x:=y+B(x, x)$ has a solution $\bar{x}$ in $X$ such that $\|\bar{x}\|_{X} \leq 2 \varepsilon$. This solution is the only one in the ball $\bar{B}(0,2 \varepsilon)$. Moreover, the solution depends continuously on $y$ in the sense: if $\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{X} \leq \varepsilon, x^{\prime}=y^{\prime}+B\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{X} \leq 2 \varepsilon$, then

$$
\left\|\bar{x}-x^{\prime}\right\|_{X} \leq \frac{1}{1-4 \varepsilon \eta}\left\|y-y^{\prime}\right\|_{X}
$$

## Proof of theorem 4

Proof. To ensure the existence of global solutions with small initial data, we will use Lemma 9.

In the following, we consider the Banach space

$$
X=\mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left([0,+\infty) ; \mathcal{F \mathcal { N }}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right) \cap \mathcal{L}^{1}\left([0,+\infty) ; \mathcal{F \mathcal { N }}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)
$$

First, we start with the integral equation

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =e^{-\mu t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mu(t-\tau) \Lambda^{\alpha}} \nabla \cdot(u(\tau) \nabla P u(\tau)) d \tau \\
& =e^{-\mu t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}+B(u, u) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

We notice that $B(u, v)$ can be thought as the solution to the heat Equation (8) with $u_{0}=0$ and force $f=\nabla \cdot(u(\tau) \nabla \operatorname{Pv}(\tau))$. According to Lemma 7 with $s=1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma$ and Proposition 8 with $\rho=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B(u, v)\|_{X} & \left.\leq\left(1+\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\alpha}\right)\|\nabla \cdot(u \nabla P v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}([0,+\infty) ; \mathcal{F}}^{p, \lambda, q}{ }^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\alpha}\right) C \mu^{-1}\|u\|_{X}\|v\|_{X} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 9, we know that if $\left\|e^{-\mu t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}\right\|_{X}<R$ with $R=\frac{\mu}{4\left(1+\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\alpha}\right) C}$
then the equation (14) has a unique solution in $B(0,2 R):=\left\{x \in X:\|x\|_{X} \leq 2 R\right\}$. To prove $\left\|e^{-\mu t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}\right\|_{X}<R$, notice that $e^{-\mu t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}$ is the solution to the dissipative equation with $u_{0}=u_{0}$ and $f=0$. So, Lemma 7 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-\mu t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}\right\|_{X} \leq\left(1+\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\alpha}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}_{p, \lambda, q}}}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}}+\frac{\lambda}{P}+\sigma} \leq C_{0} \mu$ with $C_{0}=\frac{1}{4\left(1+\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{2} C^{2}}$, then (14) has a unique global solution $u \in X$ satisfying

$$
\|u\|_{X} \leq 2\left(1+\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\alpha}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{F} \dot{N}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+}}{\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}
$$

## Proof of theorem 5

Proof. To prove Theorem 5, we note $a(t, x):=e^{\mu \sqrt{t}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} u(t, x)$. Using the integral Equation (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(t, x)= & e^{\mu\left(\sqrt{t}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\frac{1}{2} t \Lambda^{\alpha}\right)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mu t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} e^{\mu\left[(\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{\tau})|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\frac{1}{2}(t-\tau) \Lambda^{\alpha}\right]} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mu(t-\tau) \Lambda^{\alpha}} e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \nabla \cdot(u \nabla(P u)) d \tau \\
:= & L u_{0}+\widetilde{B}(u, u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to obtain the Gevrey class regularity of the solution, we use Lemma 9. Firstly, we start by estimating the term $L u_{0}=e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mu\left(\sqrt{t}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}-1\right)^{2}+\frac{\mu}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mu t \Lambda^{\alpha}} u_{0}$.

Using the Fourier transform, multiplying by $\varphi_{j}$ and taking the $\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}$-norm we obtain

$$
\left\|\varphi_{j} \widehat{L u_{0}}\right\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}} \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mu t 2^{j \alpha}(3 / 4)^{\alpha}}\left\|\varphi_{j} \widehat{u_{0}}\right\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}}
$$

Multiplying by $2^{j\left(1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)}$ and taking $l^{q}$ - norm we get

$$
\left\|L u_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left([0,+\infty) ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, \lambda}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}}
$$

Similarly

$$
2^{j\left(1+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)}\left\|\varphi_{j} \widehat{L u_{0}}\right\|_{L^{1}\left([0,+\infty) ; \mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}\right)} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mu t 2^{j \alpha}(3 / 4)^{\alpha}} 2^{j \alpha} d t\right) 2^{j\left(1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right)}\left\|\varphi_{j} \widehat{u_{0}}\right\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}} .
$$

We conclude by taking $l^{q}$ - norm that

$$
\mu\left\|L u_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}\left([0,+\infty) ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}}
$$

Finally,

$$
\left\|L u_{0}\right\|_{X} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}}
$$

On the other hand, we notice that $\widetilde{B}(u, v)$ as $\widetilde{B}\left(e^{-\mu \sqrt{\tau}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} a, e^{-\mu \sqrt{\tau}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} b\right)$ with $b:=e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} v$. Since $e^{\mu\left[(\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{\tau})|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}-\frac{1}{2}(t-\tau)|\xi|^{\alpha}\right]}$ is uniformly bounded on $t \in(0, \infty)$ and $\tau \in[0, t]$, it sufficient to consider the estimate of $\left\|e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} u \partial_{i}(P v)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, \gamma}^{2-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)$ for which we prove the flowing lemma.

Lemma 10. Let $1 \leq p<\infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty, 0 \leq \lambda<3,1+\sigma<\alpha<\min \left\{2,2+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right\}, I=[0, T), T \in(0, \infty]$, and set

$$
X=\mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left(I ; \mathcal{F}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right) \cap \mathcal{L}^{1}\left(I ; \mathcal{F}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)
$$

There exists a constant $C=C(p, q)>0$ depending on $p, q$ such that

$$
\left\|e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} u \partial_{i}(P v)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{p, \lambda, q}^{2-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right)} \leq C \mu^{-1}\|a\|_{X}\|b\|_{X}
$$

Proof. Based on the same procedure in the proof of Proposition 8, we evaluate the estimate of


$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Delta}_{j} e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\left(u \partial_{i}(P v)\right)= & \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \dot{\Delta}_{j} e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\left(\dot{S}_{k-1} u \dot{\Delta}_{k} \partial_{i}(P v)\right) \\
& +\sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \dot{\Delta}_{j} e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\left(\dot{S}_{k-1} \partial_{i}(P v) \dot{\Delta}_{k} u\right) \\
& +\sum_{k \geq j-3} \dot{\Delta}_{j} e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\left(\dot{\Delta}_{k} u \widetilde{\Delta}_{k} \partial_{i}(P v)\right) \\
:= & S_{1, j}+S_{2, j}+S_{3, j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}\left(|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}-|\xi-\eta|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}-|\eta|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)}$ is uniformly bounded on $\tau$ when $\alpha \in[0,2]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widehat{S_{1, j}}\right\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}} & =\left\|\sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \varphi_{j} e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \mathscr{F}\left(\dot{S}_{k-1} u \dot{\Delta}_{k} \partial_{i}(P v)\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}} \\
& =\left\|\sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \varphi_{j} e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\left[\left(\sum_{l \leq k-2} e^{-\left.\mu \sqrt{\tau}| |\right|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \widehat{a_{l}}\right) *\left(e^{-\mu \sqrt{\tau}|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \mathscr{F}\left(\dot{\Delta}_{k} \partial_{i}(P b)\right)\right)\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}} \\
& \left.=\| \sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \varphi_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}\left(|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}-|\tilde{\xi}-\eta|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}-|\eta|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right.}\right)\left(\sum_{l \leq k-2} \widehat{a}_{l}\right)(\xi-\eta) \mathscr{F}\left(\dot{\Delta}_{k} \partial_{i}(P b)\right)(\eta) d \eta \|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}} \\
& \leq C\left\|\sum_{|k-j| \leq 4} \mathscr{F}\left(\dot{S}_{k-1} a \dot{\Delta}_{k} \partial_{i}(P b)\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{M}_{p}^{\lambda}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The same calculus as in Proposition 8 gives

$$
\left.\left\{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\left(2-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right) q}\left\|\widehat{S_{1, j}}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(I, M_{p}^{1}\right)}^{q}\right\}^{1 / q} \quad \lesssim\|a\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \mathcal{N}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1-\alpha+\frac{3}{p}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma\right.}\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}\left(I ; F \mathcal{N}_{p, \lambda, q}^{1+\frac{3}{p}}\right.}^{1+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right) .
$$

Similarly, we show that

Similarly,

$$
\left\|\widehat{S_{3, j}}\right\|_{M_{p}^{\lambda}} \leq \sum_{k \geq j-3|l-k| \leq 1} \sum_{1}\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(\dot{\Delta}_{k} a \dot{\Delta}_{l}\left(\partial_{i}(P b)\right)\right)\right\|_{M_{p}^{\lambda}} .
$$

Using again the same procedure described in the proof of Proposition 8 we obtain

Finally,

$$
\left\|e^{\mu \sqrt{\tau}|D|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} u \partial_{i}(P v)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}}\left(I ; \mathcal{F} \mathcal{N}_{p, \lambda, q}^{2-\alpha+\frac{3}{p^{2}}+\frac{\lambda}{p}+\sigma}\right) \leq \mathcal{C} \mu^{-1}\|a\|_{X}\|b\|_{X}
$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 5, it is easy to obtain the requested result by repeating the same step in the proof of Theorem 4 and Proposition 8.
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