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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C},|z|<1\}$ be a unit disk and let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of analytic functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the class of analytic and univalent functions in $\mathcal{U}$.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ denote the class of functions

$$
w(z)=w_{1} z+w_{2} z^{2}+w_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

such that $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$. The class $\mathcal{W}$ is known as the class of Schwarz functions.
By [1], let $j(z), J(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, then $j(z) \prec J(z), z \in \mathcal{U}$, if $\exists w(z)$ analytic in $\mathcal{U}$, such that $w(0)=0,|w(z)|<1$ and $j(z)=J(w(z))$. If the function $J(z)$ is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then $j(z) \prec J(z) \Longrightarrow j(0)=J(0)$ and $j(\mathcal{U}) \subset J(\mathcal{U})$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the class of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{R} e(p(z))>0$ and $p(0)=1$. It is known that functions in classes $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are related such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \Longleftrightarrow w(z)=\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [2], Ma and Minda defined a function $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(z \in \mathcal{U})$ such that $\phi(0)=1, \phi^{\prime}(0)>0$ and $\phi(\mathcal{U})$ is starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Such function $\phi$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=1+\beta_{1} z+\beta_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad\left(z \in \mathcal{U}, \beta_{1}>0\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fekete and Szegö [3] investigated the coefficient functional

$$
g_{\rho}(f)=\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right|
$$

which arose from the disproof of Littlewood-Parley conjecture (see [1]) that says modulus of coefficients of odd univalent functions are less than 1 . This functional has been investigated by many researchers, see for instance [4,5].

Historically, Lewin [6] introduced a subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ called the class of bi-univalent functions and established that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 1.51$ for all bi-univalent functions. Also, the Koebe $1 / 4$ theorem (see [1]) states that the range of every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains the disk $D=\{\omega:|\omega|<0.25\} \subseteq f(\mathcal{U})$. This implies that $\forall f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse function $f^{-1}$ such that

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

and

$$
f\left(f^{-1}(\omega)\right)=\omega \quad\left(\omega:|\omega|<r_{0}(f) ; r_{0}(f) \geq 0.25\right)
$$

where $f^{-1}(\omega)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\omega)=f^{-1}(\omega)=\omega-a_{2} \omega^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) \omega^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) \omega^{4}+\cdots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ if both $f(z)$ and $F(\omega)$ are univalent in $\mathcal{U}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the class of analytic and bi-univalent functions in $\mathcal{U}$.

Some functions $f \in \mathcal{B}$ includes $f(z)=z, f(z)=z /(1-z), f(z)=-\log (1-z)$ and $f(z)=\frac{1}{2} \log [(1+$ $z) /(1-z)]$. Observe that some familiar functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ such as the Koebe function $K(z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$, its rotation function $K_{\sigma}(z)=z /\left(1-e^{i \sigma} z\right)^{2}, f(z)=z-z^{2} / 2$ and $f(z)=z /\left(1-z^{2}\right)$ are nonmembers of $\mathcal{B}$. See [4,5,7-11] for more details.

Jackson [12] (see also [8,13,14]) introduced the concept of $q$-derivative operator. For functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the $q$-derivative of $f$ can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)=\frac{f(z)-f(q z)}{(1-q) z} \quad(z \neq 0,0<q<1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{q} f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z) z=\mathcal{D}_{q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)\right)$. From (1) and (6) we get

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{q} a_{n} z^{n-1}  \tag{7}\\
\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z) z=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{q}[n-1]_{q} a_{n} z^{n-2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $[n]_{q}=\frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q},[n-1]_{q}=\frac{1-q^{n-1}}{1-q}, \lim _{q \uparrow 1}[n]_{q}=n$ and $\lim _{q \Uparrow 1}[n-1]_{q}=n-1$.
For instance, if $\alpha$ is a constant, then for the function $f(z)=\alpha z^{n}$,

$$
\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)=\mathcal{D}_{q}\left(\alpha z^{n}\right)=\frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q} \alpha z^{n-1}=[n]_{q} \alpha z^{n-1},
$$

and note that

$$
\lim _{q \uparrow 1} \mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)=\lim _{q \uparrow 1}[n]_{q} \alpha z^{n-1}=n \alpha z^{n-1}=: f^{\prime}(z),
$$

where $f^{\prime}(z)$ is the classical derivative.
In this study, the $q$-derivative operator and the subordination principle are used to define and generalize a subclass of bi-univalent functions. Afterwards, some coefficient bounds and some Fekete-Szegö estimates were investigated. Some of our results generalised that of Srivastava and Bansal in [10] and some new results are added.

Definition 1. Let $0<q<1, \tau \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $\phi$ is defined in (4). A function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{q}(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ if the subordination conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)+\lambda z \mathcal{D}_{q} f(z) z-1\right] \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\mathcal{D}_{q} F(\omega)+\lambda \omega \mathcal{D}_{q}^{2} F(\omega)-1\right] \prec \phi(\omega) \quad(\omega \in \mathcal{U}), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(\omega)=f^{-1}(\omega)$ are satisfied.
Remark 1. Let $q \uparrow 1$ in (8) and (9), then $\mathcal{B}_{q}(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ becomes the class $\mathcal{B}(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ investigated by Srivastava and Bansal [10].

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas

To establish our results, we shall need the following lemmas. Let $p(z)$ be as defined in (2).
Lemma 2 ([1]). If $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\left|p_{n}\right| \leq 2(n \in \mathbb{N})$. The result is sharp for the well-known Möbius function.
Lemma 3 ([15,16]). If $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$, then $2 p_{2}=p_{1}^{2}+\left(4-p_{1}^{2}\right) x$ for some $x$ and $|x| \leq 1$.

## 3. Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned in what follows, we assume throughout this work that $0<q<1, \tau \in$ $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \phi$ is as defined in (4) and $f \in \mathcal{B}$, hence our results are as follows:

Theorem 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{q}(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1}^{3 / 2}|\tau|}{\sqrt{\left|\beta_{1}^{2} \tau[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)+[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right|}}  \tag{10}\\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\beta_{1}^{2}|\tau|^{2}}{[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}+\frac{\beta_{1}|\tau|}{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)}, \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta_{1}>0$ and $\beta_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ are coefficients of $\phi(z)$ in (4).
Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $F(\omega)=f^{-1}(\omega)$, then there exists the analytic functions $u(z), v(\omega) \in \mathcal{W}, z, \omega \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $u(0)=0=v(0),|u(z)|<1,|v(\omega)|<1$ so that they satisfy the subordination conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)+\lambda z \mathcal{D}_{q} f(z) z-1\right]=\phi(u(z)) \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\mathcal{D}_{q} F(\omega)+\lambda \omega \mathcal{D}_{q}^{2} F(\omega)-1\right]=\phi(v(\omega)) \quad(\omega \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting (7) into LHS of (12) we respectively get

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\mathcal{D}_{q} f(z)+\lambda z \mathcal{D}_{q} f(z) z-1\right]=1+\frac{[2]_{q}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right) a_{2}}{\tau} z+\frac{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right) a_{3}}{\tau} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and following the same process for $F(\omega)$ in (5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\mathcal{D}_{q} F(\omega)+\lambda \omega \mathcal{D}_{q}^{2} F(\omega)-1\right]=1-\frac{[2]_{q}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right) a_{2}}{\tau} \omega+\frac{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)}{\tau} \omega^{2}+\cdots \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now to expand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(u(z)) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(v(\omega)), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

in series form, let $\delta_{1}(z)=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\ldots, \delta_{2}(\omega)=1+c_{1} \omega+c_{2} \omega^{2}+\cdots \in \mathcal{P}$, then by (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}(z)=\frac{1+u(z)}{1-u(z)} \Longrightarrow u(z)=\frac{\delta_{1}(z)-1}{\delta_{1}(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[b_{1} z+\left(b_{2}-\frac{b_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(\frac{b_{1}^{3}}{2^{2}}-b_{1} b_{2}+b_{3}\right) z^{3}+\cdots\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and following the same process

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}(\omega)=\frac{1+v(\omega)}{1-v(\omega)} \Longrightarrow v(\omega)=\frac{\delta_{2}(\omega)-1}{\delta_{2}(\omega)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[c_{1} \omega+\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \omega^{2}+\left(\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{2^{2}}-c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}\right) \omega^{3}+\cdots\right] . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (18) into (16) as expressed by (4) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(u(z))=1 & +\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1} b_{1} z+\frac{1}{2}\left[\beta_{1}\left(b_{2}-\frac{b_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2} b_{1}^{2}\right] z^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\beta_{1}\left(\frac{b_{1}^{3}}{2^{2}}-b_{1} b_{2}+b_{3}\right)+\beta_{2} b_{1}\left(b_{2}-\frac{b_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \beta_{3} b_{1}^{3}\right] z^{3}+\cdots, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

and substituting (19) into (17) as expressed by (4) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(v(\omega))=1 & +\frac{1}{2} \beta_{1} c_{1} \omega+\frac{1}{2}\left[\beta_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2} c_{1}^{2}\right] \omega^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\beta_{1}\left(\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{2^{2}}-c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}\right)+\beta_{2} c_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \beta_{3} c_{1}^{3}\right] \omega^{3}+\cdots . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Now comparing the coefficients in (14) and (20) we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{[2]_{q}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right) a_{2}}{\tau}=\frac{\beta_{1} b_{1}}{2},  \tag{22}\\
\frac{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right) a_{3}}{\tau}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\beta_{1}\left(b_{2}-\frac{b_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2} b_{1}^{2}\right], \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

and comparing the coefficients in (15) and (21) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{[2]_{q}\left(1+\lambda[1]_{q}\right) a_{2}}{\tau}=\frac{\beta_{1} c_{1}}{2},  \tag{24}\\
\frac{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)}{\tau}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\beta_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{2} c_{1}^{2}\right] . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now adding (22) and (24) and simplifying we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=-c_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{1}^{2}=c_{1}^{2} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from (22) and (24) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
8[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2} a_{2}^{2}=\tau^{2} \beta_{1}^{2}\left(b_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{2}\right), \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and adding (23) and (25) and using (26) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
4[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right) a_{2}^{2}=\tau \beta_{1}\left(b_{2}+c_{2}\right)-\tau b_{1}^{2}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (27) and using (26) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}^{2}=\frac{4[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2} a_{2}^{2}}{\tau^{2} \beta_{1}^{2}} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that by substituting for $b_{1}^{2}$ in (28) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\tau^{2} \beta_{1}^{3}\left(b_{2}+c_{2}\right)}{4\left\{\tau \beta_{1}^{2}[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)+[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right\}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and applying Lemma 2 gives (10).
Again by subtracting (23) from (25), using (26) and simplifying we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=a_{2}^{2}+\frac{\tau \beta_{1}\left(b_{2}-c_{2}\right)}{4[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (27), using (26) and simplifying we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{\tau^{2} \beta_{1}^{2} b_{1}^{2}}{4[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}+\frac{\tau \beta_{1}\left(b_{2}-c_{2}\right)}{4[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and applying Lemma 2 gives (11).
Let $q \uparrow 1$, then Theorem 4 becomes
Corollary 5. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}_{q}(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$, then as $q \uparrow 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{2}\right| & \leq \frac{|\tau| \beta_{1}^{3 / 2}}{\sqrt{\left|\tau[3]_{q} \beta_{1}^{2}+[2]_{q}^{2}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right|}} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| & \leq \frac{|\tau|^{2} \beta_{1}^{2}}{[2]_{q}^{2}}+\frac{|\tau| \beta_{1}}{[3]_{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the result of Srivastava and Bansal [10].
Theorem 6 (Fekete-Szegö Estimate, $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$ ). If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{q}(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ and $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\varrho a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{|\tau| \beta_{1}}{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)} & \text { for } 0 \leq|h(\varrho)| \leq \frac{1}{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)} \\ |\tau| \beta_{1}|h(\rho)| & \text { for }|h(\varrho)| \geq \frac{1}{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\varrho)=\frac{\tau \beta_{1}^{2}(1-\varrho)}{\left\{\tau \beta_{1}^{2}[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)+[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right\}} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (30) and (31),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{3}-\varrho a_{2}^{2}\right| & =\left|\frac{\tau \beta_{1}\left(b_{2}-c_{2}\right)}{4[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)}+(1-\varrho) a_{2}^{2}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{\tau \beta_{1}}{4}\left\{\frac{\left(b_{2}-c_{2}\right)}{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)}+\left(b_{2}+c_{2}\right) h(\varrho)\right\}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h(\varrho)$ is given in (33), so that by applying triangle inequality, (4), Lemma 2 and simplifying complete the proof.

Theorem 7 (Fekete-Szegö Estimate, $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ ). If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{q}(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{|\tau| \beta_{1}}{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)} & \text { for }|1-\rho| \in[0, \xi) ;  \tag{34}\\
\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}|\tau|}{[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}|1-\rho| & \text { for }|1-\rho| \in[\xi, \infty),
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where

$$
\xi=\frac{[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}{|\tau| \beta_{1}[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)} .
$$

Proof. From (27) and (31) and using (26),

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}=(1-\rho) \frac{\beta_{1}^{2} b_{1}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}+\frac{\beta_{1} \tau\left(b_{2}-c_{2}\right)}{4[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 3 and (26)

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2}-c_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(4-b_{1}^{2}\right)(x-y), \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $x, y,|x| \leq 1,|y| \leq 1$ and $\left|b_{1}\right| \in[0,2]$. Thus using (36) in (35) simplifies to

$$
a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}=(1-\rho) \frac{\beta_{1}^{2} b_{1}^{2} \tau^{2}}{4[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}+\frac{\beta_{1} \tau\left(4-b_{1}^{2}\right)}{8[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)}(x-y) .
$$

For $\delta(z)=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \in \mathcal{P},\left|b_{1}\right| \leq 2$ by Lemma 2 . Letting $b=b_{1}$, we may assume without any restriction that $b \in[0,2]$. Now using triangle inequality, letting $X=|x| \leq 1$ and $Y=|y| \leq 1$, then we get

$$
\left|a_{3}-\rho a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq|1-\rho| \frac{\beta_{1}^{2} b^{2}|\tau|^{2}}{4[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}+\frac{\beta_{1}|\tau|\left(4-b^{2}\right)}{8[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)}(X+Y)=H(X, Y) .
$$

For $X, Y \in[0,1]$;

$$
\max \{H(X, Y)\}=H(1,1)=\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}|\tau|^{2}}{4[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}\left\{|1-\rho|-\frac{[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}{\beta_{1}|\tau|[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)}\right\} b^{2}+\frac{\beta_{1}|\tau|}{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)}=G(b) .
$$

For $b \in[0,2]$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(b)=\frac{\beta_{1}^{2}|\tau|^{2}}{2[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}\left\{|1-\rho|-\frac{[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}{\beta_{1}|\tau|[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)}\right\} b, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that there is a critical point at $G^{\prime}(b)=0$, that is at $b=0$. Hence for

$$
G^{\prime}(b)<0 ;|1-\rho| \in\left[0, \frac{[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}{\beta_{1}|\tau|[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)}\right),
$$

thus, $G(b)$ is strictly a decreasing function of $|1-\rho|$, therefore from (3),

$$
\max \{G(b): b \in[0,2]\}=G(0)=\frac{\beta_{1}|\tau|}{[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)} .
$$

Also for

$$
G^{\prime}(b) \geq 0 ;|1-\rho| \in\left[\frac{[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}}{\beta_{1}|\tau|[3]_{q}\left(1+[2]_{q} \lambda\right)}, 0\right),
$$

thus, $G(b)$ is an increasing function of $|1-\rho|$, therefore from (3),

$$
\max \{G(b): b \in[0,2]\}=G(2)=\frac{|1-\rho| \beta_{1}^{2}|\tau|^{2}}{[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1+[1]_{q} \lambda\right)^{2}} .
$$

So that by putting the results together leads to (34).

## 4. Conclusion

In this work, we were able to establish the first two coefficient bounds and also solve the Fekete-Szegö problem for the class $\mathcal{B}_{q}(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ of analytic and bi-univalent functions in $\mathcal{U}$. The results in the first theorem generalized that of Srivastava and Bansal [10].
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