



Article On a generalized class of bi-univalent functions defined by subordination and *q*-derivative operator

Ayotunde O. Lasode^{1,*} and Timothy O. Opoola¹

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, PMB 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria.
- * Correspondence: lasode_ayo@yahoo.com

Academic Editor: Nak Eun Cho

Received: 19 June 2021; Accepted: 25 August 2021; Published: 6 September 2021.

Abstract: In this paper, the *q*-derivative operator and the principle of subordination were employed to define a subclass $\mathcal{B}_q(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ of analytic and bi-univalent functions in the open unit disk \mathcal{U} . For functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}_q(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$, we obtained early coefficient bounds and some Fekete-Szegö estimates for real and complex parameters.

Keywords: Analytic function; Bi-univalent function; Subordination; Fekete-Szegö problem; Ma-Minda function; Carathéodory function; *q*-differentiation.

MSC: 30C45; 30C50.

1. Introduction

L et $\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$ be a unit disk and let \mathcal{A} denote the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$
(1)

normalized by the conditions f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0. Let $S \subset A$ be the class of analytic and univalent functions in U.

Let $\ensuremath{\mathcal{W}}$ denote the class of functions

$$w(z) = w_1 z + w_2 z^2 + w_3 z^3 + \cdots \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

such that w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1. The class W is known as the class of Schwarz functions.

By [1], let j(z), $J(z) \in A$, then $j(z) \prec J(z)$, $z \in U$, if $\exists w(z)$ analytic in U, such that w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1 and j(z) = J(w(z)). If the function J(z) is univalent in U, then $j(z) \prec J(z) \implies j(0) = J(0)$ and $j(U) \subset J(U)$.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of functions

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \cdots \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$
 (2)

which are analytic in \mathcal{U} such that $\mathcal{R}e(p(z)) > 0$ and p(0) = 1. It is known that functions in classes \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{W} are related such that

$$p(z) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \iff w(z) = \frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}.$$
(3)

In [2], Ma and Minda defined a function $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ ($z \in \mathcal{U}$) such that $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi'(0) > 0$ and $\phi(\mathcal{U})$ is starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Such function ϕ can be expressed as

$$\phi(z) = 1 + \beta_1 z + \beta_2 z^2 + \cdots \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}, \ \beta_1 > 0).$$
(4)

Fekete and Szegö [3] investigated the coefficient functional

$$g_{\rho}(f) = |a_3 - \rho a_2^2|,$$

which arose from the disproof of Littlewood-Parley conjecture (see [1]) that says modulus of coefficients of odd univalent functions are less than 1. This functional has been investigated by many researchers, see for instance [4,5].

Historically, Lewin [6] introduced a subclass of \mathcal{A} called the class of *bi-univalent* functions and established that $|a_2| \leq 1.51$ for all bi-univalent functions. Also, the Koebe 1/4 theorem (see [1]) states that the range of every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains the disk $D = \{\omega : |\omega| < 0.25\} \subseteq f(\mathcal{U})$. This implies that $\forall f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse function f^{-1} such that

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(\omega)) = \omega \quad (\omega : |\omega| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \ge 0.25),$$

where $f^{-1}(\omega)$ is expressed as

$$F(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega) = \omega - a_2\omega^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)\omega^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)\omega^4 + \cdots$$
 (5)

Thus, a function $f \in A$ is said to be *bi-univalent* in U if both f(z) and $F(\omega)$ are univalent in U. Let B denote the class of analytic and bi-univalent functions in U.

Some functions $f \in \mathcal{B}$ includes f(z) = z, f(z) = z/(1-z), $f(z) = -\log(1-z)$ and $f(z) = \frac{1}{2}\log[(1+z)/(1-z)]$. Observe that some familiar functions $f \in S$ such as the *Koebe function* $K(z) = z/(1-z)^2$, its rotation function $K_{\sigma}(z) = z/(1-e^{i\sigma}z)^2$, $f(z) = z-z^2/2$ and $f(z) = z/(1-z^2)$ are nonmembers of \mathcal{B} . See [4,5,7–11] for more details.

Jackson [12] (see also [8,13,14]) introduced the concept of *q*-derivative operator. For functions $f \in A$, the *q*-derivative of *f* can be defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1 - q)z} \qquad (z \neq 0, \ 0 < q < 1),$$
(6)

where $\mathcal{D}_q f(0) = f'(0)$ and $\mathcal{D}_q f(z) z = \mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{D}_q f(z))$. From (1) and (6) we get

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}_{q}f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_{q}a_{n}z^{n-1} \\ \mathcal{D}_{q}f(z)z = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_{q}[n-1]_{q}a_{n}z^{n-2} \end{array} \right\}$$
(7)

where $[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}, [n-1]_q = \frac{1-q^{n-1}}{1-q}, \lim_{q \uparrow 1} [n]_q = n \text{ and } \lim_{q \uparrow 1} [n-1]_q = n-1.$

For instance, if α is a constant, then for the function $f(z) = \alpha z^n$,

$$\mathcal{D}_q f(z) = \mathcal{D}_q(\alpha z^n) = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} \alpha z^{n-1} = [n]_q \alpha z^{n-1}$$
,

and note that

$$\lim_{q\uparrow 1} \mathcal{D}_q f(z) = \lim_{q\uparrow 1} [n]_q \alpha z^{n-1} = n\alpha z^{n-1} =: f'(z) ,$$

where f'(z) is the classical derivative.

In this study, the *q*-derivative operator and the subordination principle are used to define and generalize a subclass of bi-univalent functions. Afterwards, some coefficient bounds and some Fekete-Szegö estimates were investigated. Some of our results generalised that of Srivastava and Bansal in [10] and some new results are added.

Definition 1. Let 0 < q < 1, $\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ and ϕ is defined in (4). A function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_q(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ if the subordination conditions

$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} [\mathcal{D}_q f(z) + \lambda z \mathcal{D}_q f(z) z - 1] \prec \phi(z) \qquad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$
(8)

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} [\mathcal{D}_q F(\omega) + \lambda \omega \mathcal{D}_q^2 F(\omega) - 1] \prec \phi(\omega) \quad (\omega \in \mathcal{U}),$$
(9)

where $F(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega)$ are satisfied.

Remark 1. Let $q \uparrow 1$ in (8) and (9), then $\mathcal{B}_q(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ becomes the class $\mathcal{B}(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ investigated by Srivastava and Bansal [10].

2. Preliminary Lemmas

To establish our results, we shall need the following lemmas. Let p(z) be as defined in (2).

Lemma 2 ([1]). If $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$, then $|p_n| \leq 2$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$. The result is sharp for the well-known Möbius function.

Lemma 3 ([15,16]). *If* $p(z) \in P$, then $2p_2 = p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x$ for some x and $|x| \le 1$.

3. Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned in what follows, we assume throughout this work that 0 < q < 1, $\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, ϕ is as defined in (4) and $f \in \mathcal{B}$, hence our results are as follows:

Theorem 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_q(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{\beta_1^{3/2} |\tau|}{\sqrt{\left|\beta_1^2 \tau[3]_q (1+[2]_q \lambda) + [2]_q^2 (1+[1]_q \lambda)^2 (\beta_1 - \beta_2)\right|}},$$
(10)

$$|a_3| \le \frac{\beta_1^2 |\tau|^2}{[2]_q^2 (1+[1]_q \lambda)^2} + \frac{\beta_1 |\tau|}{[3]_q (1+[2]_q \lambda)}, \tag{11}$$

where $\beta_1 > 0$ and β_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ are coefficients of $\phi(z)$ in (4).

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $F(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega)$, then there exists the analytic functions $u(z), v(\omega) \in \mathcal{W}, z, \omega \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $u(0) = 0 = v(0), |u(z)| < 1, |v(\omega)| < 1$ so that they satisfy the subordination conditions:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} [\mathcal{D}_q f(z) + \lambda z \mathcal{D}_q f(z) z - 1] = \phi(u(z)) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$
(12)

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} [\mathcal{D}_q F(\omega) + \lambda \omega \mathcal{D}_q^2 F(\omega) - 1] = \phi(v(\omega)) \quad (\omega \in \mathcal{U}).$$
(13)

By substituting (7) into LHS of (12) we respectively get

$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} [\mathcal{D}_q f(z) + \lambda z \mathcal{D}_q f(z) z - 1] = 1 + \frac{[2]_q (1 + [1]_q \lambda) a_2}{\tau} z + \frac{[3]_q (1 + [2]_q \lambda) a_3}{\tau} z^2 + \cdots,$$
(14)

and following the same process for $F(\omega)$ in (5) gives

$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} [\mathcal{D}_q F(\omega) + \lambda \omega \mathcal{D}_q^2 F(\omega) - 1] = 1 - \frac{[2]_q (1 + [1]_q \lambda) a_2}{\tau} \omega + \frac{[3]_q (1 + [2]_q \lambda) (2a_2^2 - a_3)}{\tau} \omega^2 + \cdots$$
(15)

Now to expand

$$\phi(u(z)),\tag{16}$$

and

$$\phi(v(\omega)),\tag{17}$$

in series form, let $\delta_1(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots$, $\delta_2(\omega) = 1 + c_1 \omega + c_2 \omega^2 + \dots \in \mathcal{P}$, then by (3),

$$\delta_1(z) = \frac{1+u(z)}{1-u(z)} \Longrightarrow u(z) = \frac{\delta_1(z)-1}{\delta_1(z)+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[b_1 z + \left(b_2 - \frac{b_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \left(\frac{b_1^3}{2^2} - b_1 b_2 + b_3 \right) z^3 + \cdots \right], \quad (18)$$

and following the same process

$$\delta_{2}(\omega) = \frac{1 + v(\omega)}{1 - v(\omega)} \Longrightarrow v(\omega) = \frac{\delta_{2}(\omega) - 1}{\delta_{2}(\omega) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[c_{1}\omega + \left(c_{2} - \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2} \right) \omega^{2} + \left(\frac{c_{1}^{3}}{2^{2}} - c_{1}c_{2} + c_{3} \right) \omega^{3} + \cdots \right].$$
(19)

Substituting (18) into (16) as expressed by (4) we get

$$\phi(u(z)) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_1 b_1 z + \frac{1}{2} \left[\beta_1 \left(b_2 - \frac{b_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\beta_2 b_1^2 \right] z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[\beta_1 \left(\frac{b_1^3}{2^2} - b_1 b_2 + b_3 \right) + \beta_2 b_1 \left(b_2 - \frac{b_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4}\beta_3 b_1^3 \right] z^3 + \cdots,$$
(20)

and substituting (19) into (17) as expressed by (4) we get

$$\phi(v(\omega)) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_1 c_1 \omega + \frac{1}{2} \left[\beta_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\beta_2 c_1^2 \right] \omega^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[\beta_1 \left(\frac{c_1^3}{2^2} - c_1 c_2 + c_3 \right) + \beta_2 c_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4}\beta_3 c_1^3 \right] \omega^3 + \cdots$$
(21)

Now comparing the coefficients in (14) and (20) we get

$$\frac{[2]_q(1+[1]_q\lambda)a_2}{\tau} = \frac{\beta_1 b_1}{2},$$
(22)

$$\frac{[3]_q(1+[2]_q\lambda)a_3}{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\beta_1 \left(b_2 - \frac{b_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\beta_2 b_1^2 \right],$$
(23)

and comparing the coefficients in (15) and (21) gives

$$-\frac{[2]_q(1+\lambda[1]_q)a_2}{\tau} = \frac{\beta_1 c_1}{2},$$
(24)

$$\frac{[3]_q(1+[2]_q\lambda)(2a_2^2-a_3)}{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\beta_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\beta_2 c_1^2 \right].$$
(25)

Now adding (22) and (24) and simplifying we get

$$b_1 = -c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_1^2 = c_1^2.$$
 (26)

Also from (22) and (24) we get

$$8[2]_q^2(1+[1]_q\lambda)^2 a_2^2 = \tau^2 \beta_1^2 (b_1^2 + c_1^2) , \qquad (27)$$

and adding (23) and (25) and using (26) we get

$$4[3]_q(1+[2]_q\lambda)a_2^2 = \tau\beta_1(b_2+c_2) - \tau b_1^2(\beta_1-\beta_2).$$
(28)

From (27) and using (26) we get

$$b_1^2 = \frac{4[2]_q^2 (1+[1]_q \lambda)^2 a_2^2}{\tau^2 \beta_1^2}.$$
(29)

So that by substituting for b_1^2 in (28) we get

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\tau^2 \beta_1^3 (b_2 + c_2)}{4\{\tau \beta_1^2 [3]_q (1 + [2]_q \lambda) + [2]_q^2 (1 + [1]_q \lambda)^2 (\beta_1 - \beta_2)\}},$$
(30)

and applying Lemma 2 gives (10).

Again by subtracting (23) from (25), using (26) and simplifying we get

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{\tau \beta_1 (b_2 - c_2)}{4[3]_q (1 + [2]_q \lambda)}.$$
(31)

Thus, from (27), using (26) and simplifying we get

$$a_3 = \frac{\tau^2 \beta_1^2 b_1^2}{4[2]_q^2 (1+[1]_q \lambda)^2} + \frac{\tau \beta_1 (b_2 - c_2)}{4[3]_q (1+[2]_q \lambda)},$$
(32)

and applying Lemma 2 gives (11). \Box

Let $q \uparrow 1$, then Theorem 4 becomes

Corollary 5. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}_q(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$, then as $q \uparrow 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leq \frac{|\tau|\beta_1^{3/2}}{\sqrt{|\tau[3]_q\beta_1^2 + [2]_q^2(\beta_1 - \beta_2)|}},\\ |a_3| &\leq \frac{|\tau|^2\beta_1^2}{[2]_q^2} + \frac{|\tau|\beta_1}{[3]_q}. \end{aligned}$$

which is the result of Srivastava and Bansal [10].

Theorem 6 (Fekete-Szegö Estimate, $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$). *If* $f \in \mathcal{B}_q(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ *and* $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}$ *, then*

$$|a_{3} - \varrho a_{2}^{2}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|\tau|\beta_{1}}{[3]_{q}(1+[2]_{q}\lambda)} & \text{for } 0 \leq |h(\varrho)| \leq \frac{1}{[3]_{q}(1+[2]_{q}\lambda)}; \\ |\tau|\beta_{1}|h(\rho)| & \text{for } |h(\varrho)| \geq \frac{1}{[3]_{q}(1+[2]_{q}\lambda)}; \end{cases}$$

where

$$h(\varrho) = \frac{\tau \beta_1^2 (1-\varrho)}{\{\tau \beta_1^2 [3]_q (1+[2]_q \lambda) + [2]_q^2 (1+[1]_q \lambda)^2 (\beta_1 - \beta_2)\}}.$$
(33)

Proof. From (30) and (31),

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \varrho a_2^2| &= \left| \frac{\tau \beta_1 (b_2 - c_2)}{4[3]_q (1 + [2]_q \lambda)} + (1 - \varrho) a_2^2 \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\tau \beta_1}{4} \left\{ \frac{(b_2 - c_2)}{[3]_q (1 + [2]_q \lambda)} + (b_2 + c_2) h(\varrho) \right\} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where $h(\varrho)$ is given in (33), so that by applying triangle inequality, (4), Lemma 2 and simplifying complete the proof. \Box

Theorem 7 (Fekete-Szegö Estimate, $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$). *If* $f \in \mathcal{B}_q(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ *and* $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ *, then*

$$|a_{3} - \rho a_{2}^{2}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|\tau|\beta_{1}}{[3]_{q}(1+[2]_{q}\lambda)} & \text{for } |1-\rho| \in [0,\xi);\\ \frac{\beta_{1}^{2}|\tau|^{2}}{[2]_{q}^{2}(1+[1]_{q}\lambda)^{2}} |1-\rho| & \text{for } |1-\rho| \in [\xi,\infty), \end{cases}$$
(34)

where

$$\xi = \frac{[2]_q^2 (1 + [1]_q \lambda)^2}{|\tau| \beta_1 [3]_q (1 + [2]_q \lambda)}$$

Proof. From (27) and (31) and using (26),

$$a_3 - \rho a_2^2 = (1 - \rho) \frac{\beta_1^2 b_1^2 \tau^2}{4[2]_q^2 (1 + [1]_q \lambda)^2} + \frac{\beta_1 \tau (b_2 - c_2)}{4[3]_q (1 + [2]_q \lambda)}.$$
(35)

From Lemma 3 and (26)

$$b_2 - c_2 = \frac{1}{2}(4 - b_1^2)(x - y), \qquad (36)$$

for some $x, y, |x| \le 1, |y| \le 1$ and $|b_1| \in [0, 2]$. Thus using (36) in (35) simplifies to

$$a_3 - \rho a_2^2 = (1 - \rho) \frac{\beta_1^2 b_1^2 \tau^2}{4[2]_q^2 (1 + [1]_q \lambda)^2} + \frac{\beta_1 \tau (4 - b_1^2)}{8[3]_q (1 + [2]_q \lambda)} (x - y).$$

For $\delta(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots \in \mathcal{P}$, $|b_1| \leq 2$ by Lemma 2. Letting $b = b_1$, we may assume without any restriction that $b \in [0, 2]$. Now using triangle inequality, letting $X = |x| \leq 1$ and $Y = |y| \leq 1$, then we get

$$|a_3 - \rho a_2^2| \le |1 - \rho| \frac{\beta_1^2 b^2 |\tau|^2}{4[2]_q^2 (1 + [1]_q \lambda)^2} + \frac{\beta_1 |\tau| (4 - b^2)}{8[3]_q (1 + [2]_q \lambda)} (X + Y) = H(X, Y)$$

For *X*, *Y* \in [0, 1];

$$\max\{H(X,Y)\} = H(1,1) = \frac{\beta_1^2 |\tau|^2}{4[2]_q^2 (1+[1]_q \lambda)^2} \left\{ |1-\rho| - \frac{[2]_q^2 (1+[1]_q \lambda)^2}{\beta_1 |\tau| [3]_q (1+[2]_q \lambda)} \right\} b^2 + \frac{\beta_1 |\tau|}{[3]_q (1+[2]_q \lambda)} = G(b).$$

For $b \in [0, 2]$;

$$G'(b) = \frac{\beta_1^2 |\tau|^2}{2[2]_q^2 (1+[1]_q \lambda)^2} \left\{ |1-\rho| - \frac{[2]_q^2 (1+[1]_q \lambda)^2}{\beta_1 |\tau| [3]_q (1+[2]_q \lambda)} \right\} b,$$
(37)

which implies that there is a critical point at G'(b) = 0, that is at b = 0. Hence for

$$G'(b) < 0; \ |1-\rho| \in \left[0, rac{[2]_q^2(1+[1]_q\lambda)^2}{eta_1|\tau|[3]_q(1+[2]_q\lambda)}
ight),$$

thus, G(b) is strictly a decreasing function of $|1 - \rho|$, therefore from (3),

$$\max\{G(b): b \in [0,2]\} = G(0) = \frac{\beta_1 |\tau|}{[3]_q (1 + [2]_q \lambda)}$$

Also for

$$G'(b) \ge 0; \ |1-\rho| \in \left[\frac{[2]_q^2(1+[1]_q\lambda)^2}{\beta_1 |\tau| [3]_q(1+[2]_q\lambda)}, 0
ight],$$

thus, G(b) is an increasing function of $|1 - \rho|$, therefore from (3),

$$\max\{G(b): b \in [0,2]\} = G(2) = \frac{|1-\rho|\beta_1^2|\tau|^2}{[2]_q^2(1+[1]_q\lambda)^2}$$

So that by putting the results together leads to (34). \Box

4. Conclusion

In this work, we were able to establish the first two coefficient bounds and also solve the Fekete-Szegö problem for the class $\mathcal{B}_q(\tau, \lambda, \phi)$ of analytic and bi-univalent functions in \mathcal{U} . The results in the first theorem generalized that of Srivastava and Bansal [10].

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the referees for their valuable suggestions to improve the paper.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: "The authors declare no conflict of interest."

References

- [1] Duren, P. L. (2001). Univalent Functions. Springer-Verlag Inc., New York.
- [2] Ma, W.C. & Minda, D. (1994). A Unified Treatment of some Special Classes of Univalent Functions. Proceedings of the International Conference on Complex Analysis at the Nankai Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin, China. 157–169.
- [3] Fekete, M., & Szegö, G. (1933). Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 1(2), 85-89.
- [4] Mazi, E. P., & Opoola, T. O. (2017). On some subclasses of bi-univalent functions associating pseudo-starlike functions with Sakaguchi type functions. *General Mathematics*, 25, 85-95.
- [5] Mazi, E.P. & Opoola, T.O. (2019). A newly defined subclass of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions. *Mathematica* 61,(84)(2), 146–155.
- [6] Lewin, M. (1967). On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, *18*, 63–68.
- [7] Murugusundaramoorthy, G. & Bulut, S. (2018). Bi-Bazilevič functions of complex order involving Ruscheweyh type *q*-difference operator. *Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis. Studia Mathematica*, *17*, 5–18.
- [8] Bulut, S. (2017). Certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent function involving the *q*-derivative operator. *Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara. Séries A1, 66*(1), 108–114.
- [9] Shaba, T.G. (2020). On some new subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with the Opoola differential operator. *Open Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 4(2), 74–79.
- [10] Srivastava, H.M. & Bansal, D. (2015). Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Journal of Egyptian Mathematical Society*, 23, 242–246.
- [11] Srivastava, H.M., Mishra, A.K. & Gochhayt, P. (2010). Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 23, 1188–1192.
- [12] Jackson, F.H. (1908). On *q*-functions and a certain difference operator. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 46(2), 64–72.
- [13] Aral, A., Gupta, V. & Agarwal, R.P. (2013). Applications of q-Calculus in Operator Theory. Springer Science+Business Media, New York.
- [14] Kac, V. & Cheung, P. (2002). Quantum Calculus. Springer-Verlag Inc., New York.
- [15] Grenander, U. & Szegö, G. (1958). Toeplitz Form and Their Applications. California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences, University of California Press, Berkeley.
- [16] Libera, R.J. & Zlotkiewicz, E.J. (1982). Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, *85*(2), 225–230.



© 2021 by the authors; licensee PSRP, Lahore, Pakistan. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).