



## Muhammad Awais Umar<sup>1,\*</sup>, Noshad Ali<sup>2</sup>, Afshan Tabassum<sup>2</sup> and Basharat Rehman Ali<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Government Degree College (B), Sharaqpur Shareef, Pakistan.
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, NCBA&E, DHA Campus, Lahore, Pakistan.; noshadaliue@gmail.com(N.A); afshintabassum@gmail.com(A.T)
- <sup>3</sup> Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, GC University, Lahore.; basharatrwp@gmail.com
- \* Correspondence: owais054@gmail.com

Received: 12 March 2019; Accepted: 1 Jun 2019; Published: 30 Jun 2019.

**Abstract:** Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph with v = |V(G)| vertices and e = |E(G)| edges. Further suppose that  $\mathbb{H} := \{H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t\}$  is a family of subgraphs of G. In case, each edge of E(G) belongs to at least one of the subgraphs  $H_i$  from the family  $\mathbb{H}$ , we say G admits an edge-covering. When every subgraph  $H_i$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  is isomorphic to a given graph H, then the graph G admits an H-covering. A graph G admitting Hcovering is called an (a, d)-H-antimagic if there is a bijection  $\eta : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, v + e\}$  such that for each subgraph H' of G isomorphic to H, the sum of labels of all the edges and vertices belongs to H' constitutes an arithmetic progression with the initial term a and the common difference d. For  $\eta(V) = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, v\}$ , the graph G is said to be *super* (a, d)-H-antimagic and for d = 0 it is called H-supermagic. When the given graph H is a cycle  $C_m$  then H-covering is called  $C_m$ -covering and super (a, d)-H-antimagic labeling becomes super (a, d)- $C_m$ -antimagic labeling. In this paper, we investigate the existence of super (a, d)- $C_m$ -antimagic labeling of book graphs  $B_n$ , for m = 4,  $n \ge 2$  and for differences  $d = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 13$ .

**Keywords:** Book graph  $B_n$ , super (a, d)- $C_4$ -antimagic.

MSC: 05C78, 05C70.

# 1. Introduction

et *G* be a finite and simple graph. A family of subgraphs  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t$  is defined as an *edge-covering* of *G* such that each edge of E(G) belongs to at least one of the subgraphs  $H_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$ . Then *G* admits an  $(H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t)$ -(*edge*) *covering*. If every subgraph  $H_i$  is isomorphic to a given graph *H*, then the graph *G* admits an *H*-covering. A graph *G* admitting an *H*-covering is called (a, d)-*H*-antimagic if there exists a total labeling  $\eta : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, v + e\}$  such that for each subgraph H' of *G* isomorphic to *H*, the *H'*-weights,

$$wt_{\eta}(H') = \sum_{v \in V(H')} \eta(v) + \sum_{e \in E(H')} \eta(e),$$

constitute an arithmetic progression a, a + d, a + 2d, ..., a + (t - 1)d, where a > 0 and  $d \ge 0$  are two integers and *t* is the number of all subgraphs of *G* isomorphic to *H*. Moreover, *G* is said to be *super* (a, d)-*H*-*antimagic*, if the smallest possible labels appear on the vertices. If *G* is a super (a, d)-*H*-antimagic graph then the corresponding total labeling  $\eta$  is called the *super* (a, d)-*H*-*antimagic labeling*. For d = 0, the super (a, d)-*H*-antimagic graph is called *H*-*supermagic*.

The *H*-supermagic graph was first introduced by Gutiérrez *et al.* in [1]. They proved that the star  $K_{1,n}$  and the complete bipartite graphs  $K_{n,m}$  are  $K_{1,h}$ -supermagic for some *h*. They also proved that the path  $P_n$  and the cycle  $C_n$  are  $P_h$ -supermagic for some *h*. Lladó *et al.* [2] investigated  $C_n$ -supermagic graphs and proved that wheels, windmills, books and prisms are  $C_h$ -magic for some *h*. Some results on  $C_n$ -supermagic labelings of several classes of graphs can be found in [3]. Maryati *et al.* [4] gave  $P_h$ -supermagic labelings of shrubs, subdivision of shrubs and banana tree graphs. Other examples of *H*-supermagic graphs with different choices of *H* have been given by Jeyanthi *et al.* in [5]. Maryati *et al.* [6] investigated the *G*-supermagicness of a disjoint union of *c* copies of a graph *G* and showed that disjoint union of any paths is  $cP_h$ -supermagic for some *c* and *h*.

The (a, d)-*H*-antimagic labeling was introduced by Inayah *et al.* [7]. In [8] Inayah *et al.* investigated the super (a, d)-*H*-antimagic labelings for some shackles of a connected graph *H*.



For  $H \cong K_2$ , super (a, d)-*H*-antimagic labelings are also called super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labelings. For further information on super edge-magic labelings, one can see [9–12].

The super (a, d)-*H*-antimagic labeling is related to a super *d*-antimagic labeling of type (1, 1, 0) of a plane graph which is the generalization of a face-magic labeling introduced by Lih [13]. Further information on super *d*-antimagic labelings can be found in [14–16].

In [17], Awais *et al.* proved the existence of (a, d)- $C_4$ -antimagic labeling of book graphs  $B_n$  (for difference d = 0, 1) and of its disjoint union. In this paper, we study the existence of super (a, d)- $C_4$ -antimagic labeling of book graphs  $B_n$  for differences d = 1, 2, 3, ..., 13 and  $n \ge 2$ .

### 2. Super Cycle Antimagic Labeling

In this section, we discussed super (a, d)- $C_4$ -antimagicness of *book graphs* for difference d = 1, 2, 3, ..., 13.

Let  $K_{1,n}$ ,  $n \ge 2$  be a complete bipartite graph on n + 1 vertices. The *book graph*  $B_n$  is a cartesian product of  $K_{1,n}$  with  $K_2$ . i.e.,  $B_n \cong K_{1,n} \Box K_2$ . Clearly book graph  $B_n$  admits  $C_4$ -covering. The book graph  $B_n$  has the vertex set and edge set as

$$V(B_n) = \{y_1, y_2\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x_{(1,i)}, x_{(2,i)}\}$$
$$E(B_n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{y_1 x_{(1,i)}, y_2 x_{(2,i)}, x_{(1,i)} x_{(2,i)}\} \cup \{y_1 y_2\}$$

respectively. It can be noted that  $|V(B_n)| = 2(n+1)$  and  $|E(B_n)| = 3n+1$ . Every  $C_4^{(j)}$ ,  $1 \le j \le n$  in  $B_n$  has the vertex set:  $V(C_4^{(j)}) = \{y_1, y_2, x_{(1,j)}, x_{(2,j)}\}$  and the edge set:  $E(C_4^{(j)}) = \{y_1y_2, y_1x_{(1,j)}, y_2x_{(2,j)}, x_{(1,j)}x_{(2,j)}\}$ .

Under a total labeling  $\xi$ , the  $C_4^{(j)}$ -weights, j = 1, ..., n, would be:

$$wt_{\xi}(C_{4}^{(j)}) = \sum_{v \in V(C_{4}^{(j)})} \xi(v) + \sum_{e \in E(C_{4}^{(j)})} \xi(e).$$
  
=  $\sum_{k=1}^{2} \left( \xi(y_{k}) + \xi(x_{(k,j)}) + \xi(y_{k}x_{(k,j)}) \right) + \xi(y_{1}y_{2}) + \xi(x_{(1,j)}x_{(2,j)})$  (1)

**Theorem 1.** For any integer  $n \ge 2$ , the book graph  $B_n$  admits super (a,d)- $C_4$ -antimagic labeling for differences d = 1, 3, ..., 13.

**Proof.** Under a labeling  $\xi$ , the set  $\{y_1, y_2, y_1y_2\}$ , would be labeled as:

$$\xi(y_k) = k, \quad k = 1, 2$$
  
 $\xi(y_1y_2) = 2(n+1) + 1$ 

and therefore the partial sum of  $wt_{\xi}(C_4^{(j)})$  would be

$$\xi(y_1) + \xi(y_2) + \xi(y_1y_2) = 2(n+3).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

9

For  $d = 1, 3, \ldots, 9, 13$ 

$$\begin{split} \xi_d(x_{(k,j)}) &= \begin{cases} 2j+1, & k=1\\ 2(j+1), & k=2 \end{cases} \\ \xi_{11}(x_{(k,j)}) &= \begin{cases} 2+j, & k=1\\ n+2+j, & k=2 \end{cases} \\ \xi_d(x_{(1,j)}x_{(2,j)}) &= \begin{cases} 3n+4-j, & d=1\\ 2n+3+j, & d=3,5,7,\\ 2n+1+3j, & d=11,13 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

$$\xi_d(y_k x_{(k,j)}) = \begin{cases} (k+3)n+4-j, & k=1,2 \ d=1,3 \\ 5n+4-j, & k=1 \ d=5 \\ 3n+3+j, & k=2 \ d=5 \\ (k+2)n+3+j, & k=1,2 \ d=7 \\ 3n+2j+k+1, & k=1,2 \ d=9 \\ 3(n+j)-k, & k=1,2 \ d=11 \\ 3(n+j)+k-1, & k=1,2 \ d=13 \end{cases}$$

where indices *j* are taken modulo *n*.

Clearly  $\xi(V(B_n)) = \{1, 2, \dots, 2(n+1)\}$ . Therefore  $\xi$  is a super labeling together with  $\xi(E(B_n)) = \{2(n+1)+1, 2(n+1)+2, \dots, 5n+3\}$  which shows  $\xi$  is a total labeling. Using (1) and (2),  $wt_{\xi_d}(C_4^{(j)})$  are:

$$wt_{\xi_d}(C_4^{(j)}) = \begin{cases} 14n + 21 + j, & d = 1\\ 13n + 20 + 3j, & d = 3\\ 12n + 19 + 5j, & d = 5\\ 11n + 18 + 7j, & d = 7\\ 10n + 17 + 9j, & d = 9\\ 11n + 8 + 11j, & d = 11\\ 10n + 11 + 13j, & d = 13 \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $wt_{\xi_d}(C_4^{(j)})$  constitutes arithmetic progression and therefore book graphs are super (a, d)- $C_4$ -antimagic for d = 1, 3, ..., 13. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.** For any integer  $n \ge 2$ , the book graph  $B_n$  admits super (a,d)- $C_4$ -antimagic labeling for differences d = 2, 4, ..., 10.

### **Proof.** Case $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

For d = 2, 4, 6, 8 the labeling  $\xi$  for the set  $\{y_1, y_2, y_1y_2\}$ , would be labeled as:

$$\xi_d(y_1) = 1$$
  

$$\xi_d(y_2) = \frac{n}{2} + 2$$
  

$$\xi_d(y_1y_2) = 2n + 3$$

and therefore the partial sum of  $wt_{\xi}(C_4^{(j)})$  would be

$$\xi_d(y_1) + \xi_d(y_2) + \xi_d(y_1y_2) = \frac{5n}{2} + 6$$
(3)

The remaining set of elements has the labeling  $\xi$  as:

$$\xi_d(x_{(k,j)}) = \begin{cases} 1+j, & k=1, \ j=1,2,\dots,\frac{n}{2} \\ 2j-\frac{n}{2}+1, & k=1, \ j=\frac{n}{2}+1,\dots,n \\ \frac{n}{2}+2(1+j), & k=2, \ j=1,2,\dots,\frac{n}{2} \\ n+2+j, & k=2, \ j=\frac{n}{2}+1,\dots,n \end{cases}$$

$$\xi_d(x_{(1,j)}x_{(2,j)}) = \begin{cases} 2(n+1)+1+j, & d=2\\ 5n+4-j, & d=4\\ 4n+3+j, & d=6,8 \end{cases}$$
$$\xi_d(y_k x_{(k,j)}) = \begin{cases} n(k+3)+4-j, & d=2\\ n(k+1)+3+j, & d=4,6\\ 2(n+j)+k+1, & d=8 \end{cases}$$

For difference d = 10 the labeling  $\xi$  is defined as:

$$\xi_d(y_1) = 1$$
  

$$\xi_d(y_2) = \frac{3n}{2} + 2$$
  

$$\xi_d(y_1y_2) = 2n + 3$$

and the partial sum of  $wt_{\xi}(C_4^{(j)})$  would be:

$$\xi_d(y_1) + \xi_d(y_2) + \xi_d(y_1y_2) = \frac{7n}{2} + 6 \tag{4}$$

$$\xi_d(x_{(k,j)}) = \begin{cases} 2j+1, & k=1, \ j=1,2,\dots,\frac{n}{2} \\ 2j-n, & k=1, \ j=\frac{n}{2}+1,\dots,n \\ \frac{3n}{2}+2-j, & k=2, \ j=1,2,\dots,\frac{n}{2} \\ \frac{5n}{2}+3-j, & k=2, \ j=\frac{n}{2}+1,\dots,n \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_d(x_{(1,j)}x_{(2,j)}) &= 2n+1+3j\\ \xi_d(y_k x_{(k,j)}) &= 2n+(k+1)+3j, \quad k=1,2 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\xi(V(B_n)) = \{1, 2, \dots, 2(n+1)\}$ . Therefore  $\xi$  is a super labeling and together with  $\xi(E(B_n)) = \{2(n+1)+1, 2(n+1)+2, \dots, 5n+3\}$  which shows  $\xi$  is a total labeling.

Using (1), (3) and (4),  $wt_{\xi}(C_4^{(j)})$  are:

$$wt_{\xi_d}(C_4^{(j)}) = \begin{cases} 14n + 20 + 2j, & d = 2\\ 13n + 19 + 4j, & d = 4\\ 12n + 18 + 6j, & d = 6\\ 11n + 17 + 8j, & d = 8\\ 11n + 15 + 10j, & d = 10 \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $wt_{\xi_d}(C_4^{(j)})$  constitutes arithmetic progression for differences d = 2, 4, ..., 10 when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . <u>Case  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ </u>

For the set  $\{y_1, y_2, y_1y_2\}$ , labeling  $\xi$  would be:

$$\xi_d(y_1) = 1$$
  

$$\xi_d(y_2) = n + 2$$
  

$$\xi_d(y_1y_2) = 2n + 3$$

and therefore the partial sum of  $wt_{\xi}(C_4^{(j)})$  would be

$$\xi_d(y_1) + \xi_d(y_2) + \xi_d(y_1y_2) = 3(n+2) \tag{5}$$

For differences d = 2, 4, 6, 10

$$\xi_d(x_{(k,j)}) = \begin{cases} 2j, & k = 1, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n+1}{2} \\ 2j - n, & k = 1, \ j = \frac{n+1}{2} + 1, \dots, n \\ 3\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) + 2 - j, & k = 2, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n+1}{2} \\ 5\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) + 1 - j, & k = 2, \ j = \frac{n+1}{2} + 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

and for differences d = 8

$$\xi_d(x_{(k,j)}) = \begin{cases} n+2-2j, & k=1, \ j=1,2,\dots,\frac{n-1}{2} \\ 2(n+1)-2j, & k=1, \ j=\frac{n+1}{2},\dots,n \\ 3\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)+1+j, & k=2, \ j=1,2,\dots,\frac{n-1}{2} \\ \frac{n+1}{2}+2+j, & k=2, \ j=\frac{n+1}{2},\dots,n \end{cases}$$

For differences d = 2, 4, ..., 10, the set of edges has the labeling  $\xi$  defined as:

$$\begin{split} \xi_d(x_{(1,j)}x_{(2,j)}) &= \begin{cases} 5n+4-j, & d=2\\ 4n+3+j, & d=4,6\\ 2n+3+3j, & d=8,10 \end{cases} \\ \xi_d(y_k x_{(k,j)}) &= \begin{cases} n(k+1)+3+j, & k=1,2, \ d=2,4\\ 2(n+j)+k+1, & k=1,2, \ d=6\\ 2n+k+3j, & d=8,10 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Clearly  $\xi(V(B_n)) = \{1, 2, \dots, 2(n+1)\}$ . Therefore  $\xi$  is a super labeling together with  $\xi(E(B_n)) = \{2(n+1)+1, 2(n+1)+2, \dots, 5n+3\}$  which shows  $\xi$  is a total labeling.

Using (1) and (5),  $wt_{\xi}(C_4^{(j)})$  are:

$$wt_{\xi_d}(C_4^{(j)}) = \begin{cases} \frac{27n+33}{2} + 2j, & d = 2\\ \frac{25n+31}{2} + 4j, & d = 4\\ \frac{23n+29}{2} + 6j, & d = 6\\ \frac{21n+27}{2} + 8j, & d = 8\\ \frac{19n+25}{2} + 4j, & d = 10 \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $wt_{\xi_d}(C_4^{(j)})$  constitute arithmetic progression for differences d = 2, 4, ..., 10 when  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Hence book graphs are super (a, d)- $C_4$ -antimagic for d = 2, 4, ..., 10. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.** For any integer  $n \ge 2$ , the book graph  $B_n$  admits super (a, 12)- $C_4$ -antimagic labeling.

**Proof.** Case  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ 

Under a labeling  $\xi$ , the set  $\{y_1, y_2, y_1y_2\}$ , would be labeled as:

$$\xi_{12}(y_1) = 1$$
  

$$\xi_{12}(y_2) = \frac{n+4}{2}$$
  

$$\xi_{12}(y_1y_2) = 2n+3$$

and therefore the partial sum of  $wt_{\xi}(C_4^{(j)})$  would be

$$\xi_{12}(y_1) + \xi_d(y_2) + \xi_d(y_1y_2) = \frac{5n+12}{2}$$

$$\xi_{12}(x_{(k,j)}) = \begin{cases} 1+j & k=1, \ j=1,2,\dots,\frac{n}{2} \\ 2j+1-\frac{n}{2} & k=1, \ j=\frac{n}{2}+1,\dots,n \\ \frac{n}{2}+2(1+j), & k=2, \ j=1,2,\dots,\frac{n}{2} \\ n+2+j, & k=2, \ j=\frac{n}{2}+1,\dots,n \end{cases}$$

$$\xi_{12}(y_k x_{(k,j)}) = 2(n+k) + 3j-1 \qquad k=1,2$$

$$(6)$$

$$\zeta_{12}(y_k x_{(k,j)}) = 2(n+k) + 3j - 1 \qquad k = 1,$$
  
$$\zeta_{12}(x_{(1,j)} x_{(2,j)}) = 2(n+1) + 3j$$

where indices *j* are taken modulo *n*.

Case  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ 

Under a labeling  $\xi$ , the set  $\{y_1, y_2, y_1y_2\}$ , would be labeled as:

$$\xi_{12}(y_k) = \frac{3}{2}(n-1) + 2k$$

$$\xi_{12}(y_1y_2) = 2n + 3$$

and therefore the partial sum of  $wt_{\xi}(C_4^{(j)})$  would be

 $\xi_{12}$ 

$$\xi_{12}(y_1) + \xi_d(y_2) + \xi_d(y_1y_2) = 5n + 6$$

$$(7)$$

$$(x_{(k,j)}) = \begin{cases} j & k = 1, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n+1}{2} \\ 2j - \frac{n+3}{2} & k = 1, \ j = \frac{n+1}{2} + 1, \dots, n \\ \frac{n+1}{2} + 2j, & k = 2, \ j = \frac{n}{2} + 1, \dots, n \\ n+2+j, & k = 2, \ j = \frac{n}{2} + 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

$$\xi_{12}(y_k x_{(k,j)}) = 2n + k + 3j \qquad k = 1, 2$$

$$\xi_{12}(x_{(1,j)}x_{(2,j)}) = 2n + 3(1+j)$$

where indices j are taken modulo n.

Clearly  $\xi(V(B_n)) = \{1, 2, \dots, 2(n+1)\}$ . Therefore  $\xi$  is a super labeling together with  $\xi(E(B_n)) = \{2(n+1)+1, 2(n+1)+2, \dots, 5n+3\}$  which shows  $\xi$  is a total labeling.

Using (1), (6) and (7),  $wt_{\xi}(C_4^{(j)})$  are:

$$wt_{\xi_{12}}(C_4^{(j)}) = \begin{cases} 3(3n+5)+12j & n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{23n+25}{2}+12j & n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Hence book graphs are super (a, 12)- $C_4$ -antimagic. This completes the proof.

**Acknowledgments:** The author wishes to express his profound gratitude to the reviewers for their useful comments on the manuscript.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Gutiérrez, A., & Lladó, A. (2005). Magic coverings. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, 55(2005), 43-56.
- [2] Lladó, A., & Moragas, J. (2007). Cycle-magic graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 307(23), 2925-2933.
- [3] Ngurah, A. A. G., Salman, A. N. M., & Susilowati, L. (2010). H-supermagic labelings of graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 310(8), 1293-1300.
- [4] Maryati, T. K., Baskoro, E. T., & Salman, A. N. M. (2008). P<sub>h</sub>-(super)magic labelings of some trees. Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing, 65, 198-204.
- [5] Jeyanthi, P., & Selvagopal, P. (2010). More classes of H-supermagic graphs. *International Jornal of Algorithms, Computing and Mathematics*, 3(1), 93-108.
- [6] Maryati, T. K., Salman, A. N. M., & Baskoro, E. T. (2013). Supermagic coverings of the disjoint union of graphs and amalgamations. *Discrete Mathematics*, 313(4), 397-405.
- [7] Inayah, N., Salman, A. N. M., & Simanjuntak, R. (2009). On (a, d)-H-antimagic coverings of graphs. Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing, 71, 273-281.
- [8] Inayah, N., Simanjuntak, R., Salman, A. N. M., & Syuhada, K. I. A. (2013). Super (*a*, *d*)-*H*-antimagic total labelings for shackles of a connected graph *H. Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, *57*, 127-138.
- [9] Wallis, W. D. (2001). *Magic graphs*. Birkhäuser, New York.
- [10] Bača, M., Lin, Y. Q., Muntaner-Batle, F. A., & Rius-Font, M. (2009). Strong labelings of linear forests. Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 25(12), 1951-1964.
- [11] Baca, M., & Miller, M. (2008). Super edge-antimagic graphs: A wealth of problems and some solutions. Universal-Publishers.
- [12] Figueroa-Centeno, R. M., Ichishima, R., & Muntaner-Batle, F. A. (2001). The place of super edge-magic labelings among other classes of labelings. *Discrete Mathematics*, 231(1-3), 153-168.
- [13] Lih, K. W. (1983). On magic and consecutive labelings of plane graphs. Utilitas Math, 24, 165-197.
- [14] Bača, M., Miller, M., Phanalasy, O., & Semaničová-Feňovčíková, A. (2010). Super d-antimagic labelings of disconnected plane graphs. Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 26(12), 2283-2294.
- [15] Bača, M., Brankovic, L., Semaničová-Feňovčíková, A., Labelings of plane graphs containing Hamilton path, Acta Math. Sinica - English Series, 2011, 27(4), 701–714.
- [16] Bača, M., Numan, M., & Shabbir, A. (2013). Labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for toroidal fullerenes. Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 37(6), 899-907.
- [17] Umar, M. A., Javed, M. A., Hussain, M., & Ali, B. R. (2018). Super (a, d)-C<sub>4</sub>-antimagicness of book graphs. Open Journla of Mathematical Sciences, 2, 115-121.



© 2019 by the authors; licensee PSRP, Lahore, Pakistan. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).