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1. Introduction

The concept of a fuzzy subset of a nonempty set was introduced by Zadeh in 1965 [1] as a function from a nonempty set $X$ into the unit real interval $I = [0, 1]$. Rosenfeld [2] extended the idea of Zadeh to the theory of groupoids and groups. The concepts of fuzzy field and fuzzy linear space over fuzzy field were introduced by Nanda [3]. In 1977, Katsaras and Liu [4] studied the notion of fuzzy vector subspaces over the field of real or complex numbers. After Katsaras and Liu [4], many scholars investigated properties and characteristics of fuzzy vector subspaces [5–8]. Hohle [9] and Alsina et al., [10] introduced $T$-norm in fuzzy set theory and proved that the $T$-norm can be used for the intersection of fuzzy sets. Since then, many researchers presented various types of $T$-norms for particular purposes [11,12].

The author by using norms, investigated some properties of fuzzy algebraic structures [13–18]. This work is an attempt to study purely algebraic properties of anti fuzzy vector spaces under $t$-conorms. In this paper, the author introduce anti fuzzy vector spaces under $t$-conorms and investigate relationship between anti fuzzy vector spaces under $t$-conorms and vector subspaces. Also the author introduce sum, union, direct sum of anti fuzzy vector spaces under $t$-conorms and prove that sum, union, direct sum of them is also anti fuzzy vector spaces under $t$-conorms. Later, the author define normal anti fuzzy vector spaces under $t$-conorm and obtain some results about them. Finally, the author investigate linear transformations over anti fuzzy vector spaces (normal anti fuzzy vector spaces) under $t$-conorms and show that image and pre image of them is also anti fuzzy vector space (normal anti fuzzy vector spaces) under $t$-conorms.

2. Preliminaries and main result

2.1. Preliminaries

This section recalls some of the fundamental concepts and definitions necessary for this paper.

Definition 1. ([19]) A vector space or a linear space consists of the following:

1. a field $F$ of scalars.
2. a set $V$ of objects called vectors.
3. a rule (or operation) called vector addition: associates with each pair of vectors $\alpha, \beta \in V; \alpha + \beta \in V$, called the sum of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in such a way that

(a) addition is commutative $\alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha$,
(b) addition is associative $\alpha + (\beta + \gamma) = (\alpha + \beta) + \gamma$, 
(c) there is a unique vector $0 \in V$, called the zero vector, such that $\alpha + 0 = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in V$,
(d) for each vector $\alpha \in V$ there is a unique vector $(-\alpha)$ in $V$ such that $\alpha + (-\alpha) = 0$,
(e) a rule (or operation), called scalar multiplication, associates with each scalar \( c \) in \( F \) and a vector \( \alpha \) in \( V \), a vector \( c \cdot \alpha \) in \( V \), called the product of \( c \) and \( \alpha \), in such a way that \( 1 \cdot \alpha = \alpha \), \( (c_1 \cdot c_2) \cdot \alpha = c_1 \cdot (c_2 \cdot \alpha) \), \( c \cdot (\alpha + \beta) = c \cdot \alpha + c \cdot \beta \), \( (c_1 + c_2) \cdot \alpha = (c_1 \cdot \alpha) + (c_2 \cdot \alpha) \) for \( a, \beta \in V \) and \( c, c_1, c_2 \in F \).

It is important to note as the definition states that a vector space is a composite object consisting of a field, a set of a vector space and two operations with certain special properties. The same set of vectors may be part of a number of distinct vectors. We simply use the notation, \( V \) a vector space over the field \( F \) and call elements of \( V \) as vectors.

Throughout this section, \( F \) is any field of characteristic zero.

**Example 1.** Let \( V = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \). Then \( V \) is a vector space over \( \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{Q} \) but \( V \) is not a vector space over the complex field \( \mathbb{C} \).

**Definition 2.** [19] Let \( V \) be a vector space over the field \( F \). A subspace of \( V \) is a subset \( W \) of \( V \) which is itself a vector space over \( F \) with the same operations of vector addition and scalar multiplication as on \( V \).

We have the following nice characterization theorem for subspaces.

**Theorem 1.** [19] Let \( W \) be a non-empty subset of a vector \( V \) over the field \( F \). Then \( W \) is a subspace of \( V \) if and only if for each pair \( \alpha, \beta \in W \) and each scalar \( c \in F \) the vector \( c \alpha + \beta \in W \).

**Example 2.** Let \( M_{n \times n} = \{ (a_{ij}) \mid a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q} \} \) be the vector space over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( D_{n \times n} = \{ (a_{ii}) \mid a_{ii} \in \mathbb{Q} \} \) be the set of all diagonal matrices with entries from \( \mathbb{Q} \). Then \( D_{n \times n} \) is a subspace of \( M_{n \times n} \).

**Definition 3.** [19] Let \( V \) and \( W \) be two vector spaces over the field of \( F \). A map \( f : V \rightarrow W \) is called a linear transformation if \( f(ax + y) = af(x) + f(y) \) for all \( x, y \in V \) and \( a \in F \).

**Definition 4.** [20] Let \( X \) be a non-empty set. A fuzzy subset \( \mu \) of \( X \) is a function \( \mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1] \).

The set of all fuzzy subsets of \( X \) is denoted by \( [0, 1]^X \).

**Definition 5.** [21] A t-conorm \( C \) is a function \( C : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \) having the following four properties:

(C1) \( C(x, 0) = x \),
(C2) \( C(x, y) \leq C(x, z) \) if \( y \leq z \),
(C3) \( C(x, y) = C(y, x) \),
(C4) \( C(x, C(y, z)) = C(C(x, y), z) \),

for all \( x, y, z \in [0, 1] \).

**Corollary 2.** [21] Let \( C \) be a C-conorm, then for all \( x \in [0, 1] \), we have

1. \( C(x, 1) = 1 \),
2. \( C(0, 0) = 0 \).

**Example 3.** [21]

1. Standard union t-conorm \( C_m(x, y) = \max\{x, y\} \).
2. Bounded union t-conorm \( C_b(x, y) = \min\{1, x + y\} \).
3. Algebraic sum t-conorm \( C_p(x, y) = x + y - xy \).
4. Drastic T-conorm \( C_D(x, y) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } x = 0 \\ x & \text{if } y = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \).
5. Nilpotent maximum T-conorm: \( C_nM(x, y) = \begin{cases} \max\{x, y\} & \text{if } x + y < 1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \).
6. Einstein sum (compare the velocity-addition formula under special relativity) \( C_{H_2}(x, y) = \frac{x + y}{1 + xy} \). Note that all t-conorms are bounded by the maximum and the drastic t-conorm: \( C_{\max}(x, y) \leq C(x, y) \leq C_D(x, y) \) for any t-conorm \( C \) and all \( x, y \in [0, 1] \).
Recall that $t$-conorm $C$ is idempotent if for all $x \in [0, 1]$, we have that $C(x, x) = x$.

Lemma 1. [21] Let $C$ be a $t$-conorm, then $C(C(x, y), C(w, z)) = C(C(x, w), C(y, z))$, for all $x, y, w, z \in [0, 1]$.

3. Anti fuzzy vector spaces under $t$-conorms

Throughout this section, $V$ is a vector space on a field $F$ unless otherwise specified.

Definition 6. A fuzzy set $\mu : V \to [0, 1]$ is called a anti fuzzy vector space of $V$ under $t$-conorm $C$, if for all $x, y \in V$ and $a \in F$ the following conditions hold:

1. $\mu(x + y) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y))$,
2. $\mu(-x) \leq \mu(x)$,
3. $\mu(ax) \leq \mu(x)$.

The set of all fuzzy vector spaces of $V$ under $t$-conorm $C$ is denoted by $AFC(V)$.

Corollary 3. Let $\mu \in AFC(V)$, then $\mu(-x) = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in V$.

Proof. Let $x \in V$ then $\mu(-x) \leq \mu(x) = \mu(-x)$ Hence $\mu(-x) = \mu(x)$. $\square$

Example 4. Let $V = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ be a vector space over a field $F = \mathbb{R}$. Define $\mu : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ as

$$\mu(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0.40 & (x, y, z) \in \{(0, 0, 0) \mid y \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ 0.55 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $C(a, b) = C_\rho(a, b) = a + b - ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$, then $\mu \in AFC(V)$.

Theorem 4. Let $V$ be a subspace over field $F$ and $W$ be a subset of $V$ and $\mu : W \to \{0, 1\}$ be the characteristic function such that $W$ be a subspace of $V$, then $\mu \in AFC(V)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in V$ and we investigate the following conditions:

1. If $x, y \in W$, then $x + y \in W$ and we have $\mu(x + y) = 1 \leq 1 = C(1, 1) = C(\mu(x), \mu(y))$.
2. If $x \not\in W$ and $y \in W$, then $x + y \not\in W$ and then $\mu(x + y) = 0 \leq 0 = C(0, 0) = C(\mu(x), \mu(y))$.
3. Finally, if $x \not\in W$ and $y \not\in W$, then $\mu(x + y) = 0 \leq 0 = C(0, 0) = C(\mu(x), \mu(y))$.

Thus from (1) – (3), we have $\mu(x + y) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y))$. Also, we have that the following conditions:

4. If $x \in W$, then $-x \in W$ and then $\mu(-x) = 1 \leq 1 = \mu(x)$.
5. If $x \not\in W$, then $-x \not\in W$ and then $\mu(-x) = 0 \leq 0 = \mu(x)$.

Thus from (4) – (5), we have that $\mu(-x) \leq \mu(x)$. Now, let $a \in F$:

6. If $x \in W$, then $ax \in W$ and so $\mu(ax) = 1 \leq 1 = \mu(x)$.
7. If $x \not\in W$, then $ax \not\in W$ so $\mu(ax) = 0 \leq 0 = \mu(x)$.

From (6) and (7), we obtain $\mu(ax) \leq \mu(x)$. Therefore, the above conditions gives $\mu \in AFC(V)$. $\square$

Theorem 5. Let $\mu \in AFC(V)$, then $W = \{x \mid x \in V : \mu(x) = 0\}$ is either empty or is a subspace of $V$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in W$ and $a \in F$. Then $\mu(ax + y) \leq C(\mu(ax), \mu(y)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) = C(0, 0) = 0$ and $\mu(ax + y) = 0$ which means that $ax + y \in W$ and by Theorem 1, we get $W$ is a subspace of $V$. $\square$

Theorem 6. If $\mu \in AFC(V)$ and $C$ be an idempotent $t$-conorm, then $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in V$.

Proof. As $\mu \in AFC(V)$ so $\mu(0) = \mu(x - x) = \mu(x + (-x)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(-x)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(x)) = \mu(x)$. Thus $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$. $\square$

Theorem 7. Let $\mu \in AFC(V)$ and $C$ be an idempotent $t$-conorm. Then $W = \{x \mid x \in V : \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$ is either empty or is a subspace of $V$. 
Proof. Let \( x, y \in W \) and \( a \in F \). Then by Theorem 6, we have \( \mu(ax + y) \leq C(\mu(ax), \mu(y)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) = C(\mu(0), \mu(0)) = \mu(0) \leq \mu(ax + y) \). Thus \( \mu(ax + y) = \mu(0) \) and \( ax + y \in W \). Hence Theorem 1 gives us that \( W \) is a subspace of \( V \). 

**Theorem 8.** Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) and \( C \) be an idempotent t-conorm. If \( \mu(x - y) = \mu(0) \), then \( \mu(x) = \mu(y) \) for all \( x, y \in V \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) then \( \mu(x) = \mu(x - y + y) \leq C(\mu(x - y), \mu(y)) \leq C(\mu(0), \mu(y)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) \)

\[ \mu(y) = \mu(y + x - x) = \mu(-(y - x) + x) \leq C(\mu(-(y - x)), \mu(x)) \leq C(\mu(x - y), \mu(x)) = C(\mu(0), \mu(x)) \]

\[ \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(x)) = \mu(x). \]

Therefore \( \mu(x) = \mu(y) \). 

**Theorem 9.** Let \( C \) be an idempotent t-conorm. Then \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) if and only if \( \mu(x - y) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) \) and \( \mu(ax) \leq \mu(x) \) for all \( x, y \in V \) and \( a \in F \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \), then \( \mu(x - y) = \mu(x + (-y)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(-y)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y)). \)

Conversely, let \( \mu(x - y) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(x)) \) and \( \mu(ax) \leq \mu(x) \). Then

\[ \mu(0) = \mu(x - x) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(x)) = \mu(x), \]

and

\[ \mu(-x) = \mu(0 - x) \leq C(\mu(0), \mu(x)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(x)) = \mu(x). \]

Also

\[ \mu(x + y) = \mu(x - (-y)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(-y)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y)), \]

therefore, \( \mu \in AFC(V) \). 

**Theorem 10.** Let \( \mu : V \to [0, 1] \) be a fuzzy set. If \( \mu(0) = 0 \), \( \mu(x - y) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) \) and \( \mu(ax) \leq \mu(x) \), then \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) for all \( x, y \in V \) and \( a \in F \).

**Proof.** We have \( \mu(-x) = \mu(0 - x) \leq C(\mu(0), \mu(x)) = C(0, \mu(x)) = \mu(x). \) Also \( \mu(x + y) = \mu(x - (-y)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(-y)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y)). \) Thus \( \mu \in AFC(V) \).

**Theorem 11.** Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) and \( \mu(x - y) = 0 \), then \( \mu(x) = \mu(y) \) for all \( x, y \in V \).

**Proof.** Let \( x, y \in V \), then by using Corollary 3, we have \( \mu(x) = \mu(x - y + y) \leq C(\mu(x - y), \mu(y)) = T(0, \mu(y)) = \mu(y) = \mu(-y) = \mu(x - x - y) = \mu(x + -y) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(-x)) = C(0, \mu(-x)) = \mu(-x) = \mu(x). \) Therefore \( \mu(x) = \mu(y) \).

**Theorem 12.** Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) and \( \mu(x - y) = 1 \). Then either \( \mu(x) = 1 \) or \( \mu(y) = 1 \) for all \( x, y \in V \).

**Proof.** Let \( x, y \in V \) then 1 = \( \mu(x - y) = \mu(x + (-y)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(-y)) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) \) which implies that either \( \mu(x) = 1 \) or \( \mu(y) = 1 \).

**Theorem 13.** Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) and \( \mu(x) < \mu(y) \) for some \( x, y \in V \). If \( C \) be an idempotent t-conorm, then \( \mu(x + y) = \mu(y) \) for all \( x, y \in V \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) and \( \mu(x) < \mu(y) \) which means that \( \mu(x) < \mu(x + y) \) for all \( x, y \in V \). Then \( \mu(x + y) \leq C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) = \mu(y) = \mu(x + y - x) \leq C(\mu(x + y), \mu(-x)) \leq C(\mu(x + y), \mu(x)) \leq C(\mu(x + y), \mu(x + y)) = \mu(x + y). \) Thus \( \mu(x + y) = \mu(y) \).

**Theorem 14.** Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) and \( \mu(x) > \mu(y) \) for some \( x, y \in V \). If \( C \) be an idempotent t-conorm, then \( \mu(x + y) = \mu(x) \) for all \( x, y \in V \).

**Proof.** It is trivial.

**Theorem 15.** Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) and \( C \) be an idempotent t-conorm. If \( \mu(x) \neq \mu(y) \), then \( \mu(x + y) = C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) \) for all \( x, y \in V \).
Proof. (1) If \( \mu(x) < \mu(y) \), then \( \mu(y) = C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) \) for all \( x, y \in V \). Now from Theorem 13, we have \( \mu(x + y) = \mu(y) \) and then \( \mu(x + y) = \mu(y) = C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) \).

(2) If \( \mu(x) > \mu(y) \), then \( \mu(x) = C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) \) for all \( x, y \in V \). Now from Theorem 14, we have \( \mu(x + y) = \mu(x) \) and then \( \mu(x + y) = \mu(x) = C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) \).

The conditions (1) and (2) give us: If \( \mu(x) \neq \mu(y) \), then \( \mu(x + y) = C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) \) for all \( x, y \in V \). □

Theorem 16. Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) and \( C \) be an idempotent t-conorm. Then \( \mu(x - y) = \mu(y) \) if and only if \( \mu(x) = \mu(0) \) for all \( x, y \in V \).

Proof. Let \( \mu(x - y) = \mu(y) \) and \( y = 0 \) we have \( \mu(x) = \mu(0) \).

Conversely, suppose that \( \mu(x) = \mu(0) \). From Theorem 6, we have \( \mu(x) = \mu(0) \leq \mu(x - y) \) and \( \mu(x) = \mu(0) \).

Therefore, \( \mu(x - y) = \mu(x - y) = C(\mu(x), \mu(y)) = C(\mu(0), \mu(y)) = C(\mu(0), \mu(y)) = \mu(y) = \mu(x - y - x) = \mu(x - y + (-x)) \leq \mu(x - y) \). \( \mu(x - y) = C(\mu(x - y), \mu(y)) = \mu(0) \leq \mu(x - y) = \mu(y) \).

Hence, \( \mu(x - y) = \mu(y) \) and by Corollary 3, we have \( \mu(y) = \mu(y) = \mu(x - y) \). □

Theorem 17. Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) and \( f : [0, \mu(0)] \rightarrow [0, 1] \) be a decreasing map. Define a fuzzy set \( \mu^f : V \rightarrow [0, 1] \) by \( \mu^f(x) = f(\mu(x)) \). Then \( \mu^f \in AFC(V) \).

Proof. Let \( x, y \in V \) and \( a \in F \). Then

(1) \( \mu^f(x + y) = f(\mu(x + y)) \leq f(C(\mu(x), \mu(y))) = C(f(\mu(x)), f(\mu(y))) = C(\mu^f(x), \mu^f(y)) \).

(2) \( \mu^f(-x) = f(\mu(-x)) \leq f(\mu(x)) = \mu^f(x) \).

(3) \( \mu^f(ax) = f(\mu(ax)) \leq f(\mu(x)) = \mu^f(x) \).

Therefore \( \mu^f \in AFC(V) \). □

4. Sum, union, direct sum and normality of anti fuzzy vector spaces under t-conorms

Definition 7. Let \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in AFC(V) \). The sum of \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) is defined as follows:

\[ (\mu_1 + \mu_2)(x) := \sup \{ C(\mu_1(y), \mu_2(z)) | x = y + z \in V \} \]

Proposition 1. Let \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in AFC(V) \) and \( C \) be idempotent t-conorm. Then \( (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \in AFC(V) \).

Proof. (1) Let \( x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in V \). Then using Lemma 1, we have

\[ (\mu_1 + \mu_2)(x_1 + x_2) = \sup \{ C(\mu_1(y_1 + y_2), \mu_2(z_1 + z_2)) | x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2 + z_1 + z_2 \} \]
\[ \leq \sup \{ C(C(\mu_1(y_1), \mu_1(y_2)), C(\mu_2(z_1), \mu_2(z_2))) | x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + z_1 + (y_2 + z_2) \} \]
\[ = \sup \{ C(C(\mu_1(y_1), \mu_2(z_1)), C(\mu_1(y_2), \mu_2(z_2))) | x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + z_1 + (y_2 + z_2) \} \]
\[ = C(\sup \{ C(\mu_1(y_1), \mu_2(z_1)) | x_1 = y_1 + z_1 \}, \sup \{ C(\mu_1(y_2), \mu_2(z_2)) | x_2 = y_2 + z_2 \}) \]
\[ = C((\mu_1 + \mu_2)(x_1), (\mu_1 + \mu_2)(x_2)). \]

(2) Let \( x, y, z \in V \), then

\[ (\mu_1 + \mu_2)(-x) = \sup \{ C(\mu_1(-y), \mu_2(-z)) | -x = -y + (-z) \} \]
\[ \leq \sup \{ C(\mu_1(y), \mu_2(z)) | x = y + z \} \]
\[ = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)(x). \]

(3) Let \( x, y, z \in V \) and \( a \in F \), then

\[ (\mu_1 + \mu_2)(ax) = \sup \{ C(\mu_1(ay), \mu_2(az)) | ax = ay + az \} \]
\[ \leq \sup \{ C(\mu_1(y), \mu_2(z)) | x = y + z \} \]
\[ = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)(x). \]

Thus \( (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \in AFC(V) \). □
Definition 8. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \text{AFC}(V)$ then by union of fuzzy subsets $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ with respect to a $t$-conorm $C$, we mean the fuzzy subset $\mu = \mu_1 \cup \mu_2$ such that for any $x \in V$, $\mu(x) = (\mu_1 \cup \mu_2)(x) = C(\mu_1(x), \mu_2(x))$.

Theorem 18. If $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \text{AFC}(V)$, then $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2 \in \text{AFC}(V)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in V$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$. Then by using Lemma 1, we have

(1) $\mu(x+y) = \sup_{i \in I} \mu_i(x+y) \leq \sup_{i \in I} C(\mu_i(x), \mu_i(y)) = C(\sup_{i \in I} \mu_i(x), \sup_{i \in I} \mu_i(y)) = C(\mu_1, \mu_2)$

Then $\mu_1 \cup \mu_2 \in \text{AFC}(V)$.

Definition 9. Let $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of fuzzy subspaces of $V$ under $t$-conorm $C$. Then their union $\mu = \cup_{i \in I} \mu_i : V \to [0,1]$ is defined by $\mu(x) = \sup_{i \in I} \mu_i(x)$ for all $x \in V$.

Theorem 19. Let $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \text{AFC}(V)$, then $\mu = \cup_{i \in I} \mu_i \in \text{AFC}(V)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in V$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

(1) $\mu(x+y) = \sup_{i \in I} \mu_i(x+y) \leq \sup_{i \in I} C(\mu_i(x), \mu_i(y)) = C(\sup_{i \in I} \mu_i(x), \sup_{i \in I} \mu_i(y)) = C(\mu(x), \mu(y))$

Then $\mu = \cup_{i \in I} \mu_i \in \text{AFC}(V)$.

Definition 10. For $\mu_1 \in \text{AFC}(V_1)$ and $\mu_2 \in \text{AFC}(V_2)$, the direct sum of $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ is denoted by $\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 : V_1 \oplus V_2 \to [0,1]$ and is defined by $(\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(x_1, x_2) = C(\mu_1(x_1), \mu_2(x_2))$ for all $x_1 \in V_1$ and $x_2 \in V_2$.

Theorem 20. Let $\mu_1 \in \text{AFC}(V_1)$ and $\mu_2 \in \text{AFC}(V_2)$, then $\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \in \text{AFC}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$.

Proof. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in V_1 \oplus V_2$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$. Then by using Lemma 1, we have

(1) $\mu((x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)) = \sup_{i \in I} \mu_i((x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)) = \sup_{i \in I} C(\mu_i(x_1, y_1), \mu_i(x_2, y_2))$

Therefore $\mu = \cup_{i \in I} \mu_i \in \text{AFC}(V)$.

Theorem 21. Let $C$ be an idempotent $t$-conorm and $0_{V_1}$ and $0_{V_2}$ are identity elements of $V_1$ and $V_2$, respectively. If $\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \in \text{AFC}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$, then $(\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(0_{V_1}, 0_{V_2}) \leq (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in V_1 \oplus V_2$.

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in V_1 \oplus V_2$. Then by Theorem 6, we have $(\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(0_{V_1}, 0_{V_2}) = C(\mu_1(0_{V_1}), \mu_2(0_{V_2})) \leq C(\mu_1(x), \mu_2(y)) = (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(x, y)$.

Theorem 22. Let $\mu_1 : V_1 \to [0,1]$ and $\mu_2 : V_2 \to [0,1]$ are two fuzzy subsets of the vector spaces $V_1$ and $V_2$, respectively and $0_{V_1}$ and $0_{V_2}$ are the identity elements of $V_1$ and $V_2$, respectively. Let $C$ be an idempotent $t$-conorm and $\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \in \text{AFC}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$, then at least one of the following two statements must hold:

(1) $\mu_2(0_{V_2}) \leq \mu_1(x)$ for all $x \in V_1$.
(2) $\mu_1(0_{V_1}) \leq \mu_2(y)$ for all $y \in V_2$.

Proof. Let $\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \in \text{AFC}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$. Suppose contrary, none of the statement (1) and (2) holds. Then we can find $z \in V_2$ and $t \in V_2$ such that $\mu_1(z) < \mu_2(0_{V_2})$ and $\mu_2(t) < \mu_1(0_{V_1})$. Then $(\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(z, t) = C(\mu_1(z), \mu_2(t)) < C(\mu_2(0_{V_2}), \mu_1(0_{V_1})) = C(\mu_1(0_{V_1}), \mu_2(0_{V_2})) = (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(0_{V_1}, 0_{V_2})$. 


Now from Theorem 6, we get \( \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \notin AFC(V_1 \oplus V_2) \), a contradiction. Therefore, either \( \mu_2(0_{V_2}) \leq \mu_1(x) \) for all \( x \in V_1 \) or \( \mu_1(0_{V_1}) \leq \mu_2(y) \) for all \( y \in V_2 \).

**Theorem 23.** Let \( \mu_1 : V_1 \to [0,1] \) and \( \mu_2 : V_2 \to [0,1] \) are two fuzzy subsets of the vector spaces \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \), respectively and \( 0_{V_1} \) and \( 0_{V_2} \) are the identity elements of \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \), respectively. Let \( C \) be an idempotent t-conorm and \( \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \in AFC(V_1 \oplus V_2) \), then the following statements holds:

1. if \( \mu_1(x) \geq \mu_2(0_{V_2}) \) for all \( x \in V_1 \), then \( \mu_1 \in AFC(V_1) \);
2. if \( \mu_2(x) \geq \mu_1(0_{V_1}) \) for all \( x \in V_2 \), then \( \mu_2 \in AFC(V_2) \);
3. either \( \mu_1 \in AFC(V_1) \) or \( \mu_2 \in AFC(V_2) \).

**Proof.**

1. Let \( x, y \in V_1, a \in \mathbb{F} \) and \( \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \in AFC(V_1 \oplus V_2) \). We will prove \( \mu_1 \in AFC(V_1) \). As \( \mu_1(x) \geq \mu_2(0_{V_2}) \), so \( \mu_1(x + y) \geq \mu_2(0_{V_2}) \) for all \( x, y \in V_1 \). Now \( \mu_1(x + y) = C(\mu_1(x + y), \mu_2(0_{V_2})) = C(\mu_1(x + y), \mu_2(0_{V_2} + 0_{V_2})) = (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(x + y, 0_{V_2} + 0_{V_2}) = (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)((x, 0_{V_2}) + (y, 0_{V_2})) \leq C((\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(x, 0_{V_2}), (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(y, 0_{V_2})) = C(\mu_1(x), \mu_2(0_{V_2})), (\mu_1(x), \mu_1(y)). \) Therefore, \( \mu_1(x + y) \leq C(\mu_1(x), \mu_1(y)). \)

Also, since \( \mu_1(x) \geq \mu_2(0_{V_2}) \), so \( \mu_1(-x) \geq \mu_2(0_{V_2}) \) for all \( x \in V_1 \) and then \( \mu_1(-x) = \mu_1(-x, 0_{V_2}) = (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(-x, 0_{V_2}) \leq (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(x, 0_{V_2}) = C(\mu_1(x), \mu_2(0_{V_2})). \)

Also, by using \( \mu_1(x) \geq \mu_2(0_{V_2}) \), we get \( \mu_1(ax) \geq \mu_2(0_{V_2}) \) for all \( x \in V_1 \) and \( a \in \mathbb{F} \). Now \( \mu_1(ax) = C(\mu_1(ax), \mu_2(0_{V_2})) = (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(ax, 0_{V_2}) = (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(a(x, 0_{V_2})) \leq (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2)(x, 0_{V_2}) = C(\mu_1(x), \mu_2(0_{V_2})). \)

2. The proof is similar as of (1).

3. It is obvious.

**Definition 11.** Let \( V \) be a space over field \( \mathbb{F} \), \( W \) be a subspace of \( V \) and \( \mu_W : W \to [0,1] \) be a fuzzy subspace. Define \( \mu_W : V \to [0,1] \) as:

\[
\mu_W(x + W) = \begin{cases} 
C(\mu_W(x), \mu_W(w)) & \text{if } x \neq w \\
0 & \text{if } x = w 
\end{cases}
\]

for all \( x \in V \) and \( w \in W \).

**Theorem 24.** In definition 11, if \( C \) be idempotent t-conorm and \( \mu_W \in AFC(W) \), then \( \mu_W \in AFC(V) \).

**Proof.** Let \( x + W, y + W \in \frac{V}{W} \) such that \( x, y \neq w \) and \( a \in \mathbb{F} \). Then

1. Since \( C \) is idempotent, so by Lemma 1, we have

\[
\mu_W((x + W) + (y + W)) = \mu_W(x + y + W) = C(\mu_W(x + y), \mu_W(w)) \leq C(C(\mu_W(x), \mu_W(y)), \mu_W(w)) = C(C(\mu_W(x), \mu_W(y)), C(\mu_W(w), \mu_W(w))) = C(C(\mu_W(x), \mu_W(w)), C(\mu_W(y), \mu_W(w))) = C(C(\mu_W(x), \mu_W(w)), \mu_W(y + W)).
\]

(2) \( \mu_W(-x + W) = C(\mu_W(-x), \mu_W(w)) \leq C(\mu_W(x), \mu_W(w)) = \mu_W(x + W) \).

(3) \( \mu_W(ax + W) = C(\mu_W(ax), \mu_W(w)) \leq C(\mu_W(x), \mu_W(w)) = \mu_W(x + W) \).

Then \( \mu_W \in AFC(V) \).

**Definition 12.** Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \), we say that \( \mu \) is normal if there exists \( x \in V \) such that \( \mu(x) = 1 \).

Note that if \( \mu \) normal, then \( \mu(0) = 1 \), hence \( \mu \) is a normal if and only if \( \mu(0) = 1 \). The set of all normal anti-fuzzy subspaces of \( V \) under t-conorm \( C \) is denoted by \( NAFC(V) \).
Theorem 25. Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) and \( \bar{\mu} : V \to [0,1] \) be a fuzzy set defined by \( \bar{\mu}(x) = \mu(x) + 1 - \mu(0) \) for all \( x \in V \). Then \( \bar{\mu} \in NFST(V) \).

**Proof.** Let \( x, y \in V \) and \( a \in \mathbb{F} \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad & \bar{\mu}(x + y) = \frac{\mu(x + y)}{\mu(0)} \leq \frac{C(\mu(x), \mu(y))}{\mu(0)} = C(\bar{\mu}(x), \bar{\mu}(y)). \\
(2) \quad & \bar{\mu}(-x) = \frac{\mu(-x)}{\mu(0)} \leq \frac{\mu(x)}{\mu(0)} = \bar{\mu}(x). \\
(3) \quad & \bar{\mu}(ax) = \frac{\mu(ax)}{\mu(0)} \leq \frac{\mu(x)}{\mu(0)} = \bar{\mu}(x).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus \( \bar{\mu} \in AFC(V) \). Also, \( \bar{\mu}(0) = \mu(0) + 1 - \mu(0) = 1 \). Therefore \( \bar{\mu} \in NAFC(V) \). \( \square \)

Theorem 26. Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \). Then \( \mu \in NAFC(V) \) if and only if \( \bar{\mu} = \mu \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mu \in NAFC(V) \) then \( \mu(0) = 1 \) and then \( \bar{\mu}(x) = \mu(x) + 1 - \mu(0) = \mu(x) + 1 - 1 = \mu(x) \) for all \( x \in V \). Conversely, let \( \bar{\mu} = \mu \) and from Theorem 17, we have that \( \bar{\mu} \in NAFC(V) \) and so \( \mu \in NAFC(V) \). \( \square \)

Theorem 27. Let \( \mu \in AFC(V) \) and \( \bar{\mu} : V \to [0,1] \) be a fuzzy set defined by \( \bar{\mu}(x) = \frac{\mu(x)}{\mu(0)} \) for all \( x \in V \) with \( \mu(0) \neq 0 \). Then \( \bar{\mu} \in AFC(V) \).

**Proof.** Let \( x, y \in V \) and \( a \in \mathbb{F} \). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad & \bar{\mu}(x + y) = \frac{\mu(x + y)}{\mu(0)} \leq \frac{C(\mu(x), \mu(y))}{\mu(0)} = C(\bar{\mu}(x), \bar{\mu}(y)). \\
(2) \quad & \bar{\mu}(-x) = \frac{\mu(-x)}{\mu(0)} \leq \frac{\mu(x)}{\mu(0)} = \bar{\mu}(x). \\
(3) \quad & \bar{\mu}(ax) = \frac{\mu(ax)}{\mu(0)} \leq \frac{\mu(x)}{\mu(0)} = \bar{\mu}(x).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus \( \bar{\mu} \in AFC(V) \). Also, \( \bar{\mu}(0) = \frac{\mu(0)}{\mu(0)} = 1 \). Hence \( \bar{\mu} \in NAFC(V) \). \( \square \)

5. Linear transformations over anti fuzzy vector spaces under \( t \)-conorms

**Definition 13.** Let \( f : V \to W \) be a linear transformation over the field \( \mathbb{F} \). Let \( \mu \in [0,1]^V \) and \( \nu \in [0,1]^W \). Define \( f(\mu) \in [0,1]^W \) and \( f^{-1}(\nu) \in [0,1]^V \) as:

\[
f(\mu)(w) = \begin{cases} 
\inf \{ \mu(v) \mid v \in V, f(v) = w \} & \text{if } f^{-1}(w) \neq \emptyset; \\
0 & \text{if } f^{-1}(w) = \emptyset.
\end{cases}
\]

Also \( f^{-1}(\nu)(v) = \nu(f(v)) \).

Theorem 28. Let \( f \) be an epimorphism linear transformation from vector space \( V \) into vector space \( W \) over field \( \mathbb{F} \). If \( \mu \in AFC(V) \), then \( f(\mu) \in AFC(W) \).

**Proof.** (1) Let \( w_1, w_2 \in W \). Then

\[
f(\mu)(w_1 + w_2) = \inf \{ \mu(v_1 + v_2) \mid v_1, v_2 \in V, f(v_1) = w_1, f(v_2) = w_2 \} \leq \inf \{ C(\mu(v_1), \mu(v_2)) \mid v_1, v_2 \in V, f(v_1) = w_1, f(v_2) = w_2 \} = C(\inf \{ \mu(v_1) \mid f(v_1) = w_1 \}, \inf \{ \mu(v_2) \mid f(v_2) = w_2 \}) = C(f(\mu)(w_1), f(\mu)(w_2)).
\]

(2)

\[
f(\mu)(-w) = \inf \{ \mu(-v) \mid v \in V, f(-v) = -w \} = \inf \{ \mu(-v) \mid v \in V, -f(v) = -w \} \leq \inf \{ \mu(v) \mid v \in V, f(v) = w \} = f(\mu)(w).
\]
(3) Let \( v \in V \) and \( a \in \mathbb{F} \). Now
\[
  f(\mu)(aw) = \inf\{\mu(aw) \mid av \in V, f(av) = aw\}
  \leq \inf\{\mu(v) \mid v \in V, af(v) = aw\}
  = \inf\{\mu(v) \mid v \in V, f(v) = w\}
  = f(\mu)(w).
\]

Therefore \( f(\mu) \in AFC(W) \). \( \square \)

**Theorem 29.** Let \( f \) be an epimorphism linear transformation from vector space \( V \) into vector space \( W \) over field \( \mathbb{F} \). If \( \mu \in NAFC(V) \), then \( f(\mu) \in NAFC(W) \).

**Proof.** By Theorem 28, we have \( f(\mu) \in AFC(W) \). Suppose that \( 0_V \) and \( 0_W \) are the identity elements of \( V \) and \( W \), respectively. Since \( \mu \in NAFC(V) \) so \( \mu(0_V) = 1 \) and then
\[
  f(\mu)(0_W) = \inf\{\mu(0_V) \mid 0_V \in V, f(0_V) = 0_W\}
  = \inf\{1 \mid 0_V \in V, f(0_V) = 0_W\}
  = 1.
\]

Hence \( f(\mu) \in NAFC(W) \). \( \square \)

**Theorem 30.** Let \( f \) be a linear transformation from vector space \( V \) into vector space \( W \) over field \( \mathbb{F} \). If \( v \in AFC(W) \), then \( f^{-1}(v) \in AFC(V) \).

**Proof.** (1) Let \( v_1, v_2 \in V \). Then
\[
  f^{-1}(v)(v_1 + v_2) = v(f(v_1 + v_2))
  = v(f(v_1) + f(v_2))
  \leq C(v(f(v_1), v(f(v_2))
  = C(f^{-1}(v)(v_1), f^{-1}(v)(v_2)).
\]

(2) Let \( v \in V \). Then
\[
  f^{-1}(v)(-v) = v(f(-v)) = v(-f(v)) \leq v(f(v)) = f^{-1}(v)(v).
\]

(3) Let \( v \in V \) and \( a \in \mathbb{F} \). Then
\[
  f^{-1}(v)(av) = v(f(av)) = v(af(v)) \leq v(f(v)) = f^{-1}(v)(v).
\]

Thus \( f^{-1}(v) \in AFC(V) \). \( \square \)

**Theorem 31.** Let \( f \) be a linear transformation from vector space \( V \) into vector space \( W \) over field \( \mathbb{F} \). If \( v \in NAFC(W) \), then \( f^{-1}(v) \in NAFC(V) \).

**Proof.** Theorem 30 gives us \( f^{-1}(v) \in AFC(V) \). Suppose that \( 0_V \) and \( 0_W \) be the identity elements of \( V \) and \( W \), respectively. As \( v \in NAFC(W) \) so \( v(0_W) = 1 \) and then \( f^{-1}(v)(0_V) = v(f(0_V)) = v(0_W) = 1 \). Thus \( f^{-1}(v) \in NAFC(V) \). \( \square \)
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