

Article



Investigation on the temporal evolution of the covid'19 pandemic: prediction for Togo

Komi Agbokou^{1,*}, Kossi Gneyou¹ and Kokou Tcharie¹

- ¹ LAMMA Laboratory, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lomé, Togo.
- * Correspondence: ffomestein@gmail.com

Received: 20 June 2020; Accepted: 17 August 2020; Published: 27 August 2020.

Abstract: A state of health emergency has been decreed by the Togolese government since April 01 for a period of 3 months, with the introduction of a curfew which ended on June 9, following the first case of contamination of the corona Sars- Cov-2 in Togo, case registered on March 06, 2020. This first wave of contamination started from March 19. The data observed in Togo are cases tested positive and which are cured using a protocol based on the combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin. This manuscript offers a forecast on the number of daily infections and its peak (or maximum), then the cumulative numbers of those infected with the covid'19 pandemic. The forecasts are based on evolution models which are well known in the literature, which consist in evaluating the evolution of the cumulative numbers of infected and a Gaussian model representing an estimate of the number of daily infections for this first wave of contamination. over a period of 8 months from the sample of observed data.

Keywords: Nonlinear ODE, AIC criterion, maximum likelihood, parametric estimator.

MSC: 49K15, 60F05, 60G15, 62F10, 65J08.

1. Introduction

N owadays, the economic and political societal world is very interested in understanding the temporal evolution of the total number of infections of the population by the current Sars-Cov-2 virus (corona). The most significant problems are the total duration and peak hour of the course of the infection, as well as the maximum number of daily or cumulative infections (see [1,2]). It would be very useful for many people to have an estimate of the evolution over time of this pandemic. The purpose of this manuscript is to provide such an estimate based on two simplified models for the temporal evolution of those infected with the pandemic *COVID'19* in Togo. This is to allow decision-makers to take appropriate measures to control and reverse the infection curve in the short and medium term.

A sentence from Box and Draper [3], taken up by Cheng in his article aimed at explaining how to build a model good enough (Cheng et al. [4]), sums up the situation fairly well: basically, all the models are wrong, but some *are useful* (\cdots) . This is reassuring! It should indeed be borne in mind that in no case will the model be able to accurately reflect reality. The aim of modeling is to find an expression of the model that comes closest to reality (that is to say with the best possible adequacy to the data), while remaining interpretable in a simple way, this last point being fundamental. The parameters of the model must refer to a visual characteristic of the curve corresponding to the model so that their interpretation is easy. So a model good enough may be fine. An example given by Cheng [4] is the following: one can imagine that the *exact model* in the studied population could be nonlinear, while the modeling based on the available data of a sample of this population could only be done linearly. Such a linear model could still be *good enough* to approach the exact model. The exact model for the entire population may be more complex than necessary to describe the sampled subpopulation. Among the models theoretically usable on these sample data, there is necessarily one which is the best (Cheng *et al.*, [4]). However, there are undoubtedly several models which are close enough to be considered as good enough to meet the objectives of the analysis. We use in our paper two complex models, *i.e.*, non-linear models available in the literature. The list of types of nonlinear models is non-exhaustive, as is that of their equations, which can take various forms (Campana and Jones, [5]). Despite their complexity, these models do not explicitly incorporate the effect of the drug. In fact, all these models describe an evolution or growth, but only through a function which is not linked to the treatment, to the dose administered, or to the administration protocol

followed. Thus it is not possible to use these models to simulate changes in therapeutic strategies. They are nevertheless very sufficient to calculate metrics predictive of the evolution of a pandemic. In our study, we are interested, given the data we have, on the Bernouilli and Gompertz models for the cumulative numbers of infections and then on the Gaussian model for daily infections allowing us to have the peak to predict.

As non-virologists, we do not know the recent literature on virology. Nevertheless, we, the team of mathematicians, hope that in these difficult times, an estimate by impartial non-experts can be welcomed by virology specialists as well as by the general population. We base our parameter estimates on information accessible to the public from the Togolese government site and these data are collected from March 19, 12 days after the first case of contamination. The determination of the estimators of the parameters of each of the models is first obtained mathematically using the log-likelihood method and then numerically using the SCILAB software since these estimators are complicated or even impossible to obtain explicitly.

2. Deterministic models description

The interest of these descriptive models lies in the fact that they can be written implicitly, thus allowing rapid implementation and calculations. The impact of each parameter can also be studied.

Numerical simulations and empirical epidemic data on the WHO site indicate that the temporal evolution of epidemic waves is characterized by a slow exponential increase at the beginning and then early after a given time, until a maximum pronounced is reached. As explained above, we adopt a Bernouill model and a Gompertz model for the time course of cumulative infections and a Gaussian model for daily infection and then explore its consequences. We denote by N(t) (or simply N) the cumulative number of infections per day.

2.1. Bernouilli model

We suppose that the infection rate ν is of the form $\nu(N) = \nu_0 - \nu_1 N^{\theta}$ and that the effect or the rate of the barrier measures which slow down the speed μ is of the form $\mu(N) = \mu_0 + \mu_1 N^{\theta}$ where $\nu_0, \nu_1, \mu_0, \mu_1$, and θ are positive constants such as $\nu_0 - \mu_0 > 0$ and $\theta > 0$. In other words, the infection rate decreases with the cumulative number of infected people in the population while the effect of barrier measures increases. So we have

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \nu(N)I - \mu(N)N = (\nu_0 - \mu_0)N - (\nu_1 + \mu_1)N^{\theta + 1}.$$

If we set $v_i = \frac{n_i}{\theta}$ and $\mu_i = \frac{m_i}{\theta}$ où $i \in \{0, 1\}$, then we have

$$\frac{dN(t)}{dt} = \frac{r}{\theta}N(t)\left[1 - \left(\frac{N(t)}{K}\right)^{\theta}\right]$$
(1)

where $r = n_0 - m_0$ represents the growth rate of the cumulative number of infected and $K^{\theta} = \frac{n_0 - m_0}{n_1 + m_1}$ the maximum number of people likely to be infected.

The formula (1) is then the deterministic model of Bernouilli.

2.2. Gompertz model

Gompertz establishes a growth model which will later be one of the most used in biology and medicine. Particularly realistic and in line with the observations *in vivo* carried out, the Gompertz model describes, first a slow growth followed by an exponential acceleration before finally stabilizing and reaching its maximum size while the model of Benouilli is different from that of Gompertz by two aspects: growth accelerates very slowly and the slowdown is brutal.

The Gompertz model is described by the equation

$$\frac{dN(t)}{dt} = rN(t)\log\left(\frac{K}{N(t)}\right).$$
(2)

Remark 1. When $\theta = 1$, le modèle (1) is reduced to the logistic or Verhulst model. When $\theta \rightarrow 0^+$, the Bernouilli model (1) becomes an approximation of the Gompertz model (2). Indeed,

$$\lim_{\theta \longrightarrow 0^+} \frac{r}{\theta} \left[1 - \left(\frac{N(t)}{K} \right)^{\theta} \right] = -r \log \left(\frac{N(t)}{K} \right).$$

Proposition 1. The solution of nonlinear ODE (1) is given by the formula

$$N(t) = K \left[\frac{N_0^{\theta}}{N_0^{\theta} (1 - e^{-rt}) + K^{\theta} e^{-rt}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}},$$
(3)

 $N_0^{\theta} = N(0).$

In particular for $\theta = 1$ (*Verhulst model*), we have

$$N(t) = \frac{N_0 K}{N_0 + (K - N_0)e^{-rt}}.$$
(4)

Proof. Just change the variable $\omega(t) = \log\left(\frac{N(t)}{K}\right)$ then after an integration, we get the solution (3).

Proposition 2. The solution of nonlinear ODE (2) is expressed by the formula

$$N(t) = K \exp\left[-\log\left(\frac{K}{N_0}\right)e^{-rt}\right].$$
(5)

Proof. Proposition 2 is a corollary of Proposition 1 (from Remark 1). By a simple limit calculation when $\theta \longrightarrow 0^+$ of (3), we get the solution (5). \Box

Remark 2. Note that in both cases we have

$$N_{\infty} = N(+\infty) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} N(t) = K,$$
(6)

which characterizes the stabilization of the pandemic to *K* contaminated people.

All the parameters of the two aforementioned models are unknown. The objective of the following paragraph is to provide estimators of all these parameters from a sample of size n = 80 using an optimization method based on the *log-likelihood* then their numerical values (thanks to an algorithm implemented in the SCILAB software in the absence of their explicit values) which are very complex or even impossible to determine. Then we do simulations over 240 days (8 months from March 06) for each of the two models from the estimators obtained, to have forecasts on the cumulative number \overline{N} of infected on the 240th day (which falls on the end of October). Finally, the algorithm implemented in the software gives us the sum of the squares of the differences (RSS) between the observed data and the estimated data. This quantity of RSS also allowed us to choose the best model.

3. Statistic study

3.1. Fit models

Consider the *n* measurement points $(t_i, y_i)_{\{1 \le i \le n\}}$ where t_i represents the day *i* from the date of the first case of contamination and y_i the cumulative number of infected on date t_i . It is assumed that each measurement has a measurement error which is i.i.d., distributed according to a normal law of zero mean and standard deviation σ . The model predicts a functional relationship between the variable *t* and *y*, depending on a set β of parameters, where $\beta = (r, K, \theta)$ for the Equation (1) and $\beta = (r, K)$ for the Equation (2) such that $y(t) = N(t, \beta)$. What we observe is therefore $y(t) = N(t, \beta) + \varepsilon$ where ε is a random variable following a normal law of zero mean and unknown variance σ . The probability density of ε is that of $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. Consequently each observation is the realization of a random variable $Y \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(N(t, \beta), \sigma^2)$.

The likelihood function for a sample of *n* observations is, except for a positive constant factor:

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_i - N(t_i, \beta)}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right] \right).$$
(7)

Maximizing (7) means maximizing its logarithm $\mathcal{LL}(\beta)$, or minimizing the opposite of the logarithm:

$$\chi^{2}(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_{i} - N(t_{i}, \beta)}{\sigma} \right)^{2}.$$
(8)

This comes back to a standard least square problem. Indeed it is a question of minimizing the sum of the squares of the differences between what is measured y_i and what is predicted by the model $N(t_i, \beta)$ où $i = 1 \cdots , n$. We determine the set $\hat{\beta}$ of the estimators of the set of parameters β (unknown) for the equations (1) and (2) by performing a minimization numerical of $\chi^2(\beta)(8)$ corresponding to the data of Figure 1 and Figure 2.



Figure 1. Gompertz fit



Figure 2. Bernouilli fit

3.2. Comparison of the two models: the best fit for N (t)

Modeling growth often involves comparing several models of different equations on the same dataset. This comparison allows the choice of the model that best fits the data. In general, we use the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), a measure of the quality of a model, widely used for forecasting purposes and especially for small data. It is written in the following form

$$AIC = 2n_p - 2\mathcal{LL}(\hat{\beta}), \tag{9}$$

where n_p is the number of estimated parameters and \mathcal{LL} the minimized log-likelihood for the settings.

The fact that we are in the framework of deterministic models and that errors are assumed i.i.d. normally distributed $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ then (9) is written in the form

$$AIC = 2n_p + 2\log(\hat{\sigma}^2), \tag{10}$$

we estimate σ^2 by $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2$, donc (9) becomes

$$AIC = 2n_p + 2\log\left(\frac{RSS}{n}\right),\tag{11}$$

where RSS = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - N(x_i, \beta))^2$ (RSS for "Residual Sum Square"). n addition, we have a sample of small data, i.e. $n \leq 40n_p$ où $n_p = 3$ for the model (1) and $n_p = 2$ for (2) with n = 80. So we use the corrected version (12) given by

$$AIC_c = AIC + \frac{2n_p(n_p+1)}{n-n_p-1}.$$
 (12)

It should be noted that, the smaller the criterion AIC (ou AIC_c), the better the fit of the model to the data. The following table (Table 1) gives the values (obtained with scilab) of AIC_c, $\hat{\sigma}^2$, RSS for the two models (1) and (2).

Modèle	Gompertz	Bernouilli
RSS	23901.245	23909.088
$\hat{\sigma}^2$	298.765	298.864
$\hat{\sigma}$	17.285	17.288
AIC	15.400	17.400
AIC_c	15.555	17.716

Table 1. Comparison table of Gompertz and Bernouilli

3.2.1. Interpretation of results and prediction

According to the calculations we see that the Gompertz model ensures a better fit because its AIC_c . This result is predictable because the rate of increase r is average equal to 2% for the real data whereas the rate of increase of the Bernoulli model is r = 2.3% against r = 1.9% from Gompertz. It should be remembered that the 240th day falls on the end of October from March 6 which is the first day, so we make a prediction of $\overline{N}_G = 1784$ for the Gompertz model against $\overline{N}_B = 1508$ for the Bernoulli model with a standard deviation $\hat{\sigma} = 17.28$ (which constitutes the margin of error) for the two models.

In this particular case, the AIC gives the best fit, which is not the case in general. Other equivalent definitions of AIC may be used. In this study we chose to minimize this criterion. We can choose to maximize it. These criteria are close to an affine transformation (that is to say a near multiplicative coefficient and a translation by a constant). Numerical values may be different, but the best criteria will be the same.

4. Evolution study of daily infections

The simulations carried out by WHO on the ISI website ¹ and of Johns Hopkins UM² show that the corresponding model is a Gaussian model. Thus the predictions are based on the assumption of a Gaussian temporal evolution well justified by the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) of statistics. The adjustment of the parameters of this Gaussian distribution is determined from a formula similar to (8).

4.1. Gaussian distribution choice

The best justification for the Gaussian or normal distribution of the time course of the virus is given by the CLT. The CLT indicates that in situations where $n \gg 1$ independent random variables are added, their correctly normalized sum tends towards a normal or Gaussian distribution function even if the original variables themselves are not normally distributed (see Billingsley [6]). The spread of viral infection from large populations is certainly a random process to which the TCL is applicable. Each person in a given population has a probability distribution (standardized to the unit) according to the time of infection: it is a very discontinuous distribution of 1 on the day of infection and 0 all the others days. If we add these discrete distributions of people living in villages and neighborhoods of cities of a typical size of about 1000 people, we obtain almost continuous probability distributions of infections which will certainly be different in the hot

¹ https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html

² https://www.isi-web.org/index.php/covid-19

spots of the disease. and isolated rural areas (see Schlickeiser [7]). If we then add up a large number of these village probability distributions for all of Togo, we obtain the daily distribution of the infection rate which, according to the CLT, is close to a Gaussian distribution. If I(t) designates the number of infections per day, we assume that its temporal evolution is given by the Gaussian function called log-normal.

$$I(t) = \kappa \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\log(t) - \tau}{\delta}\right)^2\right]$$
(13)

where κ is the maximum value of *I* at the date e^{τ} and δ the width of the Gaussian.

This Gaussian model is widely used in the analysis of lifetimes (see Agbokou et al., [8,9]).

4.2. Gaussian fit

With the paired data $(t_i; y_i)$, we suppose a model of the type

$$y_i = I(t_i) + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, \cdots, n \text{ where } \varepsilon_i \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2).$$
 (14)

As before, we minimize digitally

$$\chi^{2}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{y_{i} - I(t_{i}, \rho)}{\sigma \sqrt{2}} \right)^{2}, \text{ where } \rho = (\kappa, \tau, \delta).$$
(15)

We determine numerically the estimator $\hat{\rho}$ of the parameter vector ρ unknown (thanks to the algorithm implemented in the software) from (15) corresponding to the Figure 3.



Figure 3. Gaussien fit

4.3. Interpretation and forecasting

The small peak observed at the level at the beginning (37 th day) at the level of the actual data curve is due to the return of the first wave of travelers from countries at risk mainly from Europe and America, from the end of the first week of April. The early growth observed from the 62nd day, comes from the deconfinement of neighboring countries and mainly from a neighboring country (Ghana) which is more affected by the pandemic than Togo and due to the porosity of the borders, which has caused many of contacts. In addition, during this same period, many contact cases were reported in the prison environment of the capital where detainees are often, as in almost all countries of the world, in overcrowding. In addition, between the 71th and 89th day, there is an approximate stability which turns around 205 infected. This stability is predictable because previous studies show that an epidemic always has a time of stability for a short period due to the care or control of the pandemic and the restrictions imposed by the same dates. We see here that the estimator $\hat{\tau}$ of the parameter τ gives the date of the peak by the relation $t_{max} \simeq e^{\hat{\tau}} = 180.187$, which is in accordance with the Figure 3. So the predictions are made here with a standard deviation of $\hat{\sigma}$. The peak will be reached in the first week of September with a maximum of $493 \pm \hat{\sigma}$ of active cases.

5. Conclusion

The purpose of this study is to alert the Togolese state to a probable early development of the pandemic despite this deceptive stability which is only a temporary slowdown over a short period. The investigations carried out in this manuscript take into account the resumption of activities after the Togolese state's suspension of the curfew, such as the reopening of classes and places of worship, etc. If the barrier measures are not put in place for the resumption of classes in schools, universities and places of worship, we can witness a sudden explosion of figures. The Togolese government has made it compulsory to wear a muffler, which is beneficial and will reduce the speed of contamination if the Togolese state makes the masks available to the population, otherwise there will be a spike in the figures . Note that the daily samples for covid19 screening tests in Togo provide an average rate of 2.2% of cases detected with or without asymptomatic covid19 and the fatality rate is on average around 2.5%. It should also be noted that among the 2.5% of deaths observed, more than 50% suffered from certain pathologies which are often poorly treated for lack of means. In addition, in some places of detention, all the detainees were taken, which reassures a little compared to the risk of contacts. The current health situation has provoked the fear of seeking treatment for other pathologies in hospitals, especially those which are requisitioned for the treatment of covid'19. As a consequence of this situation of fear or fear, many diseases have taken their toll. For example, in 5 months 2020 the country recorded more than 320 deaths linked to malaria against 13 linked to covid19, which is alarming. The state made a lot of effort in the case of covid'19 even if it is not what we hope. However half of this energy must be deployed to save the population from the rage of malaria as well as other diseases such as HIV-AIDS and tuberculosis which are being left in the background.

A mathematical model capable of analyzing the interaction between the corona virus, the patient's host cells and the protocol administered to patients, will be the subject of our future research after a reasonable period of healing of the patient.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the refree and the editor-in-chief for their contributions.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: "The authors declare no conflict of interest."

References

- Almanthari, A., Maulina, S., & Bruce, S. (2020). Secondary School Mathematics TeachersŠ Views on E-learning Implementation Barriers during the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Case of Indonesia. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 16(7), em1860.
- [2] Yang, H. M., Junior, L. P. L., Castro, F. F. M., & Yang, A. C. (2020). Mathematical model describing CoViD-19 in São Paulo State, Brazil-Evaluating isolation as control mechanism and forecasting epidemiological scenarios of release. *Epidemiology and Infection*, 10.1017/S0950268820001600.
- [3] Box, G. E., & Draper, N. R. (1987). Empirical model-building and response surfaces. John Wiley & Sons.
- [4] Cheng, J., Edwards, L. J., Maldonado-Molina, M. M., Komro, K. A., & Muller, K. E. (2010). Real longitudinal data analysis for real people: building a good enough mixed model. *Statistics in Medicine*, 29(4), 504-520.
- [5] Campana, S. E., & Jones, C. M. (1992). Analysis of otolith microstructure data. Otolith microstructure examination and analysis. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 117, 73-100.
- [6] Billingsley, P. (2008). Probability and measure. John Wiley & Sons.
- [7] Schlickeiser, R., & Schlickeiser, F. (2020). A Gaussian Model for the Time Development of the Sars-Cov-2 Corona Pandemic Disease. Predictions for Germany Made on 30 March 2020. *Physics*, 2(2), 164-170.
- [8] Agbokou, K., & Gneyou, K. E. (2017). On the strong convergence of the hazard rate and its maximum risk point estimators in presence of censorship and functional explanatory covariate. *Afrika Statistika*, 12(3), 1397-1416.
- [9] Agbokou, K., Gneyou K., & El H. Déme (2018). Almost sure representations of the conditional hazard function and its maximum estimation under right-censoring and left-truncation. *Far East Journal of Theoretical Statistics*, 54(2), 141-173.



© 2020 by the authors; licensee PSRP, Lahore, Pakistan. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).